### PITTSBURGH RESIDENTS ASSESS

THEIR NEIGHBORHOODS

by

Roger S. Ahlbrandt, Jr. and James V. Cunningham

University of Pittsburgh
School of Social Work
and
University Center for Social and Urban Research
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

December 1980


## Table of Contents

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Introduction   | . 1  |
| General Attitudes  | . 2  |
| Neighborhood Identification                                | . 2  |
| Attachment to the Neighborhood                             | . 3  |
| Reasons for Moving to the Neighborhood                     | . 3  |
| Table 1: Reasons for Moving into the Neighborhood .        | . 4  |
| Local Facility Use   | 4    |
| Neighborhood Conditions                                    | . 5  |
| Table 2: Neighborhood Problems (1980)                      | . 5  |
| Table 3: Perceptions of Neighborhood Conditions (1980)     | . 6  |
| Willingness to Remain in the Neighborhood                  | . 6  |
| Neighboring  | . 7  |
| Neighborhood Organizations                                 | 7    |
| Other Organizations  | . 8  |
| Job Location   | . 8  |
| Housing  | . 8  |
| Public Services  | . 9  |
| Table 4: Satisfaction with Specific Public Services (1980) | . 9  |
| Schools  | . 10 |
| Characteristics of the Sample                              | . 10 |
| Table 5: Selected Household Characteristics (1980).        | 10   |
| Conclusion   | 11   |

|                                  | Page |
|----------------------------------|------|
| NEIGHBORHOOD APPENDIX            |      |
| Allegheny Center                 | 14   |
| Allegheny West                   | 15   |
| Allentown                        |      |
| Arlington                        |      |
| Arlington Heights                |      |
|                                  |      |
| Banksville                       |      |
| Bedford Dwellings                |      |
| Beechview                        |      |
| Beltzhoover                      |      |
| Bloomfield                       |      |
| Bluff                            |      |
| Bon Air                          |      |
| Brighton Heights                 |      |
| Broadhead-Fording                |      |
| Brookline                        | 28   |
|                                  |      |
| California Avenue                | 29   |
| Carrick                          |      |
| Central Business District        | 31   |
| Central North Side               | 32   |
| Crafton Heights-Westwood-Oakwood | 33   |
|                                  |      |
| East Brookline-Overbrook         |      |
| East Carnegie                    |      |
| East Hills                       |      |
| East Liberty                     |      |
| East North Side                  |      |
| Elliott-West End Valley          |      |
| Esplen                           | 40   |
| Friendship                       | 41   |
|                                  |      |
| Garfield                         | 42   |
| Greenfield                       | 43   |
|                                  |      |
| Harpen Hilltop                   | 44   |
| Hazelwood-Glenwood-Glen Hazel    | 45   |
| Highland Park                    |      |
| Hill District-Lower              | 47   |
| Hill District-Middle             | 48   |
| Hill District-Upper              |      |
| Homewood North                   |      |
| Homewood South                   |      |
| Homewood West                    |      |
|                                  |      |
| Knoxville                        | 53   |
| Larimer                          | 54   |
| Lawrenceville                    |      |
| Lawrenceville-Lower              |      |
| Lawrenceville-Upper              |      |
| Lincoln-Lemington-Belmar         |      |
|                                  |      |

| TEIGHBORHOOD APPENDIX (Continued) | age |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| Manchester                        | 59  |
| Morningside                       |     |
| Mount Washington-Duquesne Heights | 61  |
| North Shore                       |     |
| North View Heights                | 63  |
| Oakland-Lower                     | 64  |
| Oakland-North                     | 65  |
| Oakland-South                     | 66  |
| Perry North                       | 67  |
| Perry South                       | 68  |
| Point Breeze                      | 69  |
| Polish Hill                       | 70  |
| Regent Square                     | 71  |
| Ridgemont-Chicken Hill            | 72  |
| St. Clair                         | 73  |
| Shadeland-Halls Grove             | 74  |
| Shadyside                         | 75  |
| Sheridan-Chartiers                | 76  |
| South Side Flats                  | 77  |
| South Side Slopes                 | 78  |
| Spring Hill                       | 79  |
| Squirrel Hill-North of Forbes     | 80  |
| Squirrel Hill-South of Forbes     | 81  |
| Stanton Heights                   | 82  |
| Strip District                    | 83  |
| Swisshelm Park                    | 84  |
| Terrace Village                   | 85  |
| 31st Ward                         | 86  |
| Troy Hill                         |     |
| CITY OF PITTSBURGH                | 88  |

0



#### Introduction

Pittsburgh residents rate high the livability of their neighborhoods and are more optimistic about them than they were five years ago. The positive attitudes, revealed in a recent city-wide survey, show that the city's neighborhoods are healthy and are becoming stronger.

These are the major conclusions of a research project directed by
Dr. Roger S. Ahlbrandt, Jr., Associate Professor in the School of Social Work,
University of Pittsburgh. The two-year project, jointly sponsored by the
School of Social Work and the University Center for Social and Urban Research,
surveyed 5,896 households throughout every neighborhood in the City of Pittsburgh. The survey asked questions pertaining to sense of community, local
facility use, neighborhood conditions, neighboring patterns, participation in
voluntary organizations, housing, public services, work, health, and social
support systems.

This report describes the results of the survey, conducted by telephone in early 1980. The discussion focuses upon the attitudes of residents toward their neighborhoods and the uses residents make of those neighborhoods. The results are presented here as city-wide figures. Data for individual neighborhoods will be found in the appendix. Where appropriate, comparisons are made to the results of a 1975 survey contained in the Pittsburgh Neighborhood Atlas.

The research described in this report was supported by a grant from the Center for Studies of Metropolitan Problems, National Institute of Mental Health (#5-R01-MH33002-02). The authors wish to thank the Center for its support and, in addition, wish to thank staff members of the University Center for Social and Urban Research for their assistance throughout the data-gathering and data analysis phases of the project.

#### General Attitudes

Seventy-two (72) percent of all households interviewed rated their neighborhood a good or excellent place to live; and 29 percent said that conditions in the neighborhood had improved during the past two years compared to 16 percent who thought conditions had deteriorated, while the balance felt the neighborhood had remained the same.

Responses to these questions indicate significant improvement in the overall attitudes of Pittsburgh city residents toward their neighborhoods over the past five years. In 1975 almost an equal number of residents were satisfied (42%) as were dissatisfied (37%) with conditions in their neighborhoods; and at that time, only 13 percent of those interviewed thought their neighborhood was improving compared to 49 percent who believed it to be declining.

Satisfaction levels varied as a result of the characteristics of the respondents. Older respondents were more satisfied with the neighborhood than younger respondents; higher income households were more satisfied than lower income households; white respondents were more satisfied than black respondents; and homeowners were more satisfied than renters. Given these differences, the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of individual neighborhoods must be taken into account in order to begin to understand why differences in satisfaction levels exist.

#### Neighborhood Identification

Neighborhood identification in Pittsburgh is strong. Ninety-three (93) percent of those interviewed know the name of their neighborhood, and 68 percent said their neighborhood had distinct boundaries.

### Attachment to the Neighborhood

The majority (63%) of Pittsburgh's residents report that they are strongly attached to their neighborhood compared to only seven percent who report no attachment.

The strong attachment is reflected in the fact that once settled, Pittsburgh city residents don't like to move. The median time lived in the neighborhood is 19 years, and only five percent of those interviewed had lived in the
neighborhood less than a year.

Neighborhood attachment is further reflected by the majority (63%) of residents reporting greater loyalty to their neighborhood than to the city as a whole, and by the large percentage (44%) who have relatives living in the neighborhood.

Like neighborhood satisfaction, reported neighborhood attachment varied among those interviewed on the basis of a number of specific population characteristics. Older respondents expressed greater attachment to the neighborhood; black respondents were more attached than whites; and homeowners showed greater attachment than renters. Variations in income did not affect the intensity of attachment.

#### Reasons for Moving to the Neighborhood

Table 1 lists the reasons given for moving into the neighborhood. The single most important reason was the convenience of the neighborhood (24%); however, being born there or having friends, family or spouse living there combined to represent 38 percent of the total number of responses. This is another indication of the strength and importance of the social fabric of the

neighborhood. People live there because they have ties there. Housing is also important, being cited by 16 percent of the respondents.

Table 1
Reasons for Moving into the Neighborhood

| Reason                              | % of<br>Total Responses |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                     |                         |
| Convenient location                 | 24%                     |
| Born there                          | 21                      |
| Friends, family, spouse lived there | 17                      |
| Better neighborhood                 | 11                      |
| Reasonable-priced housing           | 10                      |
| Better home                         | 6                       |
| Good schools                        | 3                       |
| Safe neighborhood                   | 1                       |
| Other                               | 7                       |
|                                     | 100%                    |

Source: 1980 Citizen Survey

#### Local Facility Use

Pittsburgh residents use their neighborhoods for basic living activities. Sixty-three (63) percent of the respondents do most of their main grocery shopping in or near their neighborhood; almost half (45%) frequently shop for small items, dry cleaning and so on in or near their neighborhood; over half (51%) attend religious services in or near the neighborhood; 45 percent use the health or medical services available near their place of residence; and 20 percent recreate in their neighborhood or nearby. The neighborhood is therefore an important provider of services for a large number of people.

The availability of shopping areas, recreation facilities, etc. varies among Pittsburgh's neighborhoods; and therefore, individual neighborhood analysis is essential in order to know what facilities are available and how intensive is their use.

### Neighborhood Conditions

Respondents were asked to identify the most serious problem in their neighborhood. Responses covered a wide variety of problems and the general categories mentioned are shown in Table 2.° Crime (15%), street repair and maintenance (11%), traffic and parking (11%), litter and garbage (10%), housing-related (8%) and youth-related (8%) were the most frequently mentioned problems. Fifteen (15) percent of the respondents were not concerned about any problem in their neighborhood.

Table 2 Neighborhood Problems (1980)

| Problem                       | % of<br>Total Responses |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                               |                         |
| No problem                    | 15%                     |
| Crime-related                 | 15                      |
| Street repair and maintenance | 11                      |
| Traffic, parking              | 11                      |
| Litter and garbage            | 10                      |
| Housing-related               | 8                       |
| Youth-related                 | 8                       |
| Other                         | 6                       |
| People-related                | 4                       |
| Drugs, alcohol                | 3 -                     |
| School-related                | 2                       |
| Dogs, cats                    | 2                       |
| General deterioration         | 2                       |
| Transportation                | 1                       |
| Rats, rodents                 | 1                       |
| Noise, air pollution          | i                       |
| notes, are personal           | 100%                    |

Source: 1980 Citizen Survey

esponses to specific neighborhood condition questions
Of the conditions mentioned, the cost of housing
1980, followed by litter and garbage, street mainin 1975 it was poor roads, dog litter, burglaries and
18 Pittsburgh Neighborhood Atlas.

Table 3 s of Neighborhood Conditions (1980)

### % of Total Responses

|          | Res              | ponse Catego:    | ries             |
|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| dition   | Not a<br>Problem | Minor<br>Problem | Major<br>Problem |
| gs       | 68%              | 18%              | 14%              |
| uildings | . 57             | 26               | 17               |
| g        | 43               | 27               | 30               |
|          | 47               | 36               | 17               |
|          | 47               | 35               | 18               |
|          | 60               | 28               | 12               |
|          | 68               | 21               | 11               |
| ople     | 65               | 25               | 10               |
| bage     | 50               | 26               | 24               |
|          | 51               | 29               | 20               |
| ance     | 49               | 29               | 22               |

ource: 1980 Citizen Survey

## ess to Remain in the Neighborhood

rcent of the respondents indicated that they plan to ears. The primary reasons given for the anticipated a better place to live (23%), find a larger place to 12%), school-related (10%), purchase a home (9%), resighborhood conditions (4%), marriage/divorce (5%),

Of those planning to move, 23 percent will move within the neighborhood, 29 percent will relocate to another neighborhood in the city, 17 percent will move to a suburb in Allegheny County, seven percent plan to reside outside of Allegheny County and 24 percent anticipate moving outside of Pennsylvania entirely.

Given the decision of a majority of the potential movers to continue to live within the city, there does not appear to be the prospect of large-scale outmigration from Pittsburgh. This indicates that the rate of population loss of the last few decades may be declining. Therefore, the city's population may be stabilizing at its current level.

01

10

01

0[

01

### Neighboring

Respondents reported a high degree of interaction with their neighbors.

Fifty-one (51) percent visit regularly with neighbors; 60 percent help neighbors (or are helped by neighbors) with small tasks such as repair work or grocery shopping; 95 percent believe they could call on neighbors if an emergency arose; 38 percent feel that they have much in common with their neighbors; and 52 percent of the respondents report that the friend they socialize with most often lives in the neighborhood.

Neighboring patterns vary depending upon the characteristics of the household. Neighboring occurs more frequently for smaller households, younger respondents, white respondents, higher income households, homeowners and those living in the neighborhood for a longer period of time.

### Neighborhood Organizations

Fifty-six (56) percent of the respondents are aware of an organization in their neighborhood that deals with neighborhood issues or neighborhood problems, and 17 percent of those interviewed belong to at least one such organization.

Of those who belong, the median length of membership in the organization is five years. A large majority (75%) of the respondents who were aware of the organization are satisfied with it.

### Other Organizations

Fifty-five (55) percent of those interviewed belong to other types of voluntary organizations. Of those who belong to one such organization, church groups predominate (37%), followed by educational (12%), fraternal (11%), union (10%), recreation (9%), social welfare (6%), senior citizen (4%), professional (4%), political (2%) and civic (1%) groups. Most of these organizations are located in (49%) or near (15%) the neighborhood, with the balance being located in other parts of (29%) or outside of (7%) the city. The respondents' neighbors belong to over half (56%) of these organizations.

### Job Location

Respondents who are currently working or have worked in the past have their primary place of employment in the city (63%), neighborhood (20%), or in the suburbs (13%). Neighborhood employment is highest for part-time workers, with 30 percent of the part-time males and 39 percent of the part-time females working in the neighborhood. Full-time female workers work in the neighborhood at higher rates than male full-time workers (24% compared to 14%).

Transportation to and from work is generally not a problem. Eighty-one (81), percent considered it to be no problem or only a minor problem.

#### Housing

In general, respondents were satisfied with their home or apartment. Only seven percent expressed dissatisfaction. In order to assess the willingness of city residents to maintain their housing, homeowners were asked whether they made

1

any major repairs or other improvements on their home during the past two or three years which cost more than \$500. Two-thirds replied affirmatively to this question.

### Public Services

Two-thirds of the respondents are satisfied with the way the city provides services in their neighborhood. This level of satisfaction, however, varies from neighborhood to neighborhood with lower income respondents reporting lower satisfaction levels.

Table 4 shows the responses with respect to specific public services.

Street cleaning received the lowest rating, with almost one-third of the respondents rating it poor. Street repair maintenance, animal control and police protection received the next lowest citizen evaluations. Fire protection received the highest rating, followed by street lighting, traffic control and public transportation.

Table 4
Satisfaction with Specific Public Services (1980)

% of Total Responses

|    |                              | - Respo | onse Cate | gories - |
|----|------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
|    | Public Service               | Good    | Fair      | Poor     |
| a. | Street repair maintenance    | 46%     | 32%       | 22%      |
| b. | Trash and garbage collection | 61      | 25        | 14       |
| c. | Street cleaning              | 40      | 29        | 31       |
| d. | Street lighting              | 77      | 18        | 5        |
| e. | Police protection            | 50      | 31        | 19       |
|    | Fire protection              | 83      | 13        | 4        |
|    | Public transportation        | 70      | 19        | 11       |
|    | Traffic control (stop signs, |         |           |          |
|    | stop lights)                 | 74      | 17        | 9        |
| i. | Animal control               | 55      | 24        | 21       |

Source: 1980 Citizen Survey

### Schools

he respondents had children under 18 years of age, urgh is predominantly a city of adults. Fifty-eight dents with children send their children to public chial school, eight percent to private, and the rest lic-parochial-private. Most of the children attend hborhood, and 85 percent of the respondents are satisfield (children) attends. The current high satisfield be a little misleading because since the survey, d's desegregation plan has been implemented and fewer public school in their own neighborhood. (In 1975, mether they had children attending public schools or nion of the schools. Only 46 percent said they were

### aracteristics of the Sample

ed household characteristics of the sample. The 1980 of the City of Pittsburgh are not yet available, sossible to compare the sample with current population its representativeness. However, based upon data from appears that the sample may be overrepresentative in the authors do not believe that this materially affects the survey data.

#### Table 5

### d Household Characteristics (1980)

| Household |   | Ι | n | c | 0 | п | e |  |  |  |  | \$13,100 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|----------|
| Black     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  | 22%      |
| Homeowne  | T |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  | 63%      |

Source: 1980 Citizen Survey

#### Conclusion

The survey shows that most city residents have positive feelings about their neighborhoods. Over 60 percent reported that they were strongly attached to it and almost three out of four rated it a good or excellent place to live. This does not mean that there are not problems. There are, and these problems vary from neighborhood to neighborhood. However, the attachment of city residents to their neighborhoods, despite the problems, provides a solid base from which to build and maintain a socially and economically sound city.

Neighborhoods in Pittsburgh have a strong social fabric. They provide their residents with a variety of services—almost half of the respondents use facilities for shopping and/or religious, health and recreational services in or near their place of residence. Neighboring activities are important for almost half of those interviewed, and almost all respondents believe they could turn to neighbors if an emergency arose. In addition, organizational involvement within the neighborhood is high (17% belong to a neighborhood organization, and 35% participate in other organizations in or near the neighborhood). Also, the neighborhood serves as an important work place for certain groups of people. Twenty (20) percent of those who are or were attached to the labor force work in the neighborhood, and the percentage is considerably higher for part-time workers.

People living in Pittsburgh's neighborhoods are not isolated. The percentage of respondents with kin in the neighborhood is high (44%) and almost all respondents report having friends in the neighborhood (75% have at least one).

Compared to the results of a 1975 city-wide survey, the 1980 results indicate that the attitudes of neighborhood residents toward their neighborhoods have become more positive. Satisfaction levels are higher and a greater percentage of respondents see their neighborhoods improving than was the case five years previously. their neighborhood. However, since the 1975 survey been a number of initiatives taken by city government, and non-profit agencies which have brought increased oods.


aised the visibility of Pittsburgh's neighborhoods, ograms have been designed to strengthen the neighbor-Home Improvement Loan Program makes low-interest lable to homeowners with incomes below \$25,000; the provement Program provides low-interest rate loans for hborhoods; the Neighborhood Housing Program provides ity to buyers of homes in certain parts of the city to f a new home; Operation Paintbrush provides exterior ners in a number of neighborhoods; Neighborhood Developned in designated neighborhood revitalization areas assistance to community residents; the Urban Develophas made below-market interest rate mortgage financing eighborhoods on the North Side; the City's Neighborhoods ht to publicize every neighborhood in the city; and enter about to be established largely with city funds borhood organizations.

, but the point is clear. The City of Pittsburgh has us for much of its programming. This new direction is neighborhoods are the fundamental building blocks on if the foundation is not made solid, the city will not m.

The city-wide survey has shown that Pittsburgh has a hidden strength and that is its people and the fabric of the social life that exists within each of the many different neighborhoods in the city. This social fabric is vibrant and the attitudes of the residents seem to be responding to the neighborhood initiatives taken by city government. The job, however, is not done. The city has an aging housing stock and a number of poorer neighborhoods; the public school system needs support from city government as its parent constituency declines; and a few neighborhoods are losing their shopping facilities as local business districts deteriorate. But, the steps which are being taken by city government, neighborhood organizations, neighborhood residents and non-profit agencies are mutually supportive and are beginning to show results. The city is moving in the right direction, but there is still a large minority of the population whose loyalty and attachment must be won.

1 -

NEIGHBORHOOD APPENDIX



## RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): ALLEGHENY CENTER (Number of Respondents: 31)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | Neighborhood has improved-over-past two years  | 77.4%<br>29.0%<br>55.6%                            |
|--|--|--|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 48.4%<br>7.5yr                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 45.2%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         |  | 77.4%<br>38.7%<br>16.1%<br>54.8%                   |
| 1.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  |  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 16.1% Deteriorated buildings. 16.1% Cost of housing 30.0%  Vandalism 20.0% Burglaries 10.7%  Muggings 38.7% Rats 14.3% Litter and garbage 14.3% Street maintenence 9.7%  9. Animal control  G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair  Trash/garbage collection  2. Trash/garbage collection  5. Police protection  6. Fire protection  7. Public transportation  8. Traffic control (stop signs, stop lights)  9. Animal control | 24.1%<br>25.8%<br>19.4%<br>40.0%<br>12.9%<br>19.4% |
| 1.   | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so   | 46.2%  |
|  | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood*  | ****   |
| SOUR   | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh  |  |

| SURVEY (1980): ALLEGHENY WEST<br>er of Respondents: 12)                              |
|--|
| General Attitudes ellent place to live   |
| Neighborhood Attachment the neighborhood   |
| C. Neighboring nes with neighbors  |
| of Neighborhood Facilities ly in/near neighborhood                                   |
| E. Participation  neighborhood organization  |
| G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)   1 8.3%   1. Street repair                    |
| Serious Neighborhood Problem % saying so 36.4%  I. School in/near neighborhood*****% |

iter for Social and Urban Research rersity of Pittsburgh

## RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): ALLENTOWN (Number of Respondents: 52)

|     | A. General Attitudes   |         |
|-----|--|---------|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live                    | 65.4%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years                | 36.5%   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood                 | 65.4%   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years                               | 13.5%   |
|     | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city       | 28.6%   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey       |         |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions                    | 22.68   |
|     | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years             | 6.0%    |
|     | b. Merghborhood has improved over past two fears             | 0.00    |
|     | P Neighborhood Attachment                                    |         |
| -   | B. Neighborhood Attachment                                   | 50 60   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood                        |         |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city               |         |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood                 | 26.5yr. |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood                    | 67.3%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | C. Neighboring   |         |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors                      | 50.0%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks           | 63.5%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency          |         |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood                      | 59.6%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities                            |         |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood                 | 42 39   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood      | 61 59   |
|     | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood      | 5.8%    |
| 3.  |  |         |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 40.15   |
|     |  |         |
|     | E. <u>Participation</u>                                      |         |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization             | 19.2%   |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization                  | 63.2%   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such               |         |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood                            | 23.1%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services                |         |
|     | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)                       |         |
| 1.  | Vacant buildings 9.6% 1. Street repair                       | 71.2%   |
| 2.  | Deteriorated buildings 15.4% 2. Trash/garbage collection     |         |
| 3.  | Cost of housing 6.7% 3. Street cleaning                      |         |
|     | Vandalism 15.4% 4. Street lighting                           |         |
|     | Burglaries 10.0% 5. Police protection                        |         |
|     | Muggings 3.8% 6. Fire protection                             |         |
|     |  |         |
| ,.  |  | 41.12   |
|     | Litter and garbage 19.6% 8. Traffic control (stop            |         |
|     | Stray dogs 19.6% signs, stop lights)                         | 27.58   |
| 10. | Street maintenence 40.4% 9. Animal control                   | 52.0%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem                         |         |
| 1.  | Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so            | 22.0%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | I. School  |         |
| 1.  | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood                   | 100.0%  |
|     |  |         |
| SOU | URCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research    |         |
|     | University of Pittsburgh                                     |         |
|     | ourierers or recommends                                      |         |

| er of Respondents: 45)   | 4   |
|--|-----|
| ellent place to live   | 1   |
| improved over past two years 12.5%   | 1   |
| Neighborhood Attachment the neighborhood   |     |
| C. Neighboring nes with neighbors  | i   |
| /) neighbors with small tasks 77.8% abors for help in an emergency   |     |
| of Neighborhood Facilities Ly in/near neighborhood   |     |
| <pre>:y frequently in/near neighborhood 33.3% :vices frequently in/near neighborhood. 24.4% E. Participation</pre>                       |     |
| neighborhood organization 6.7%<br>eighborhood organization 82.4%<br>fraternal or other such  | 1   |
| tions G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)  |     |
| 6.7% 1. Street repair 57.8%<br>8.9% 2. Trash/garbage collection 24.4%<br>10.3% 3. Street cleaning 73.3%                                  |     |
| 4.7% 4. Street lighting 13.3%<br>2.4% 5. Police protection 44.2%<br>2.3% 6. Fire protection 9.8%<br>14.0% 7. Public transportation 38.6% | - [ |
| 24.4% 8. Traffic control (stop<br>24.4% signs, stop lights) 26.2%<br>11.1% 9. Animal control 45.5%                                       |     |
| erious Neighborhood Problem<br>and Maintenence % saying so 15.4%   | ,   |
| I. School in/near neighborhood 85.7%   | 1   |
| ter for Social and Urban Research<br>ersity of Pittsburgh  |     |

T SURVEY (1980): ARLINGTON

## RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): ARLINGTON HEIGHTS (Number of Respondents: 19)

|     | •  |         |
|-----|--|---------|
|     | A. General Attitudes   |         |
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live                    | 47.48   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past-two years                | 42 -18- |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood                 | 77 89   |
|     | Plan to move in next two years                               | 21 19   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years                               | 21.10   |
|     | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city       | 0.0%    |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey       |         |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions                    | 8.3%    |
|     | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years             | 40.0%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | B. Neighborhood Attachment                                   |         |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood                        | 63.29   |
|     | Sciongly accading to the heighbol body                       | 61 19   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city               | 01.15   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood                 |         |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood                    | 36.8%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | C. Neighboring   |         |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors                      | 42.1%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks           |         |
|     |  |         |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergencyl         |         |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood                      | 63.2%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities                            |         |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood                 | 57.9%   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood      | 31.6%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood      |         |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. |         |
| ٠.  | ose hearth/medical services frequencry in/hear heighborhood. | 47.40   |
|     |  |         |
| _   | E. <u>Participation</u>                                      |         |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization             |         |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization                  | 90.0%   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such               |         |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood                            | 21.1%   |
|     | 0-5  |         |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services                |         |
|     |  |         |
|     | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)                       |         |
|     | Vacant buildings 31.6% 1. Street repair                      | 42.18   |
|     | Deteriorated buildings 10.5% 2. Trash/garbage collection     | 36.8%   |
| 3.  | Cost of housing 25.0% 3. Street cleaning                     | 50.0%   |
| 4.  | Vandalism 10.5% 4. Street lighting                           | 26.3%   |
|     | Burglaries 15.8% 5. Police protection                        |         |
|     | Muggings 10.5% 6. Fire protection                            |         |
|     |  |         |
|     |  | 33.30   |
|     | Litter and garbage 36.8% 8. Traffic control (stop            |         |
|     | Stray dogs 15.8% signs, stop lights)                         |         |
| 10. | Street maintenence 5.3% 9. Animal control                    | 47.48   |
|     |  |         |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem                         |         |
| 1.  | Problem:Litter, Garbage % saying so                          | 28.6%   |
|     | v ougang over  |         |
|     | I. School  |         |
| 1   |  | 00 00   |
| Τ.  | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood                   | \$0.00. |
|     |  |         |
| SOU | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research     |         |
|     | University of Pittsburgh                                     |         |
|     |  |         |

## RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): BANKSVILLE (Number of Respondents: 75)

|      | A. General Attitudes   |        |
|------|--|--------|
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 91 99  |
| 2.   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 17 69  |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 70.06  |
|      | Satisfied with Gity Services in neighborhood   | 70.3%  |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years   |        |
|      | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 85.7%  |
| 5.   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |        |
|      | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 56.0%  |
|      | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 10 89  |
|      | - January and Improved that part the Junior States   | 20.00  |
|      | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |        |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | E0 79  |
| 2.   | Paul more lovalty to neighborhood that he site.  | 53.76  |
|      | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 63.9%  |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 15.0yr |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 30.1%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | C. Neighboring   | 22 333 |
| 1.   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 61.3%  |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 64.0%  |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 94.7%  |
| 4.   | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 54.7%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |        |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 74.7%  |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 48 09  |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 20.05  |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 30.75  |
| 4.   | ose hearth/ medical services frequently in/hear heighborhood.  | 34./8  |
|      | E. Participation   |        |
| 1.   | Bolong to at loost one mighborhand   |        |
| ±.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 14.7%  |
|      | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 78.1%  |
| 2.   | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |        |
|      | organization in/near neighborhood  | 37.3%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |        |
|      | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |        |
| 1.   | Vacant buildings 0.0% 1. Street repair   | 50.7%  |
| 2.   | Deteriorated buildings 1.3% 2. Trash/garbage collection  |        |
| 3.   | Cost of housing 32.8% 3. Street cleaning   |        |
| 4    |  | 00.25  |
| 5    |  |        |
| 2.   | Burglaries 5.4% 5. Police protection   | 37.7%  |
| ٥.   | Muggings 1.4% 6. Fire protection   | 22.4%  |
| 7.   | Rats 4.1% 7. Public transportation   | 35.3%  |
| 8.   | Litter and garbage 4.0% 8. Traffic control (stop   |        |
| 9.   | Stray dogs 6.7% signs, stop lights)  | 37.39  |
| 10.  | Street maintenence 21.3% 9. Animal control   | 31 09  |
|      | The state of the s | 27.00  |
|      | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem   |        |
| 1.   | Problem: Traffic, Parking % saying so  | 22 00  |
|      | a Saying So  | 22.35  |
|      | I. School  |        |
| 1.   | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 05 70  |
|      |  | 03.75  |
| SOUR | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   |        |
|      | University of Pittsburgh   |        |
|      |  |        |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): BEDFORD DWELLINGS (Number of Respondents: 34)

|  | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 47.1%   |
| 2.   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 20.6%   |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 36.4%   |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years   | 26.5%   |
|  | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 42.98   |
| 5.   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
|  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  |   |
|  | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 11.5%   |
|  |  |   |
|  | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 64.7%   |
| 2.   | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 65.6%   |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 26.0yr  |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 52.9%   |
|  |  |   |
|  | C. Neighboring   |   |
| 1.   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 38.28   |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 54.5%   |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 90.3%   |
| 4.   | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 58.88   |
|  |  |   |
|  | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |   |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 26.5%   |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 41.2%   |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  |   |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 35.3%   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |   |
|  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |   |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such  | 76.9%   |
|  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%   |
|  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%   |
|  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%   |
| 2.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%  |
| 2.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%   |
| 1. 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.                                     | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                               | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                         | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.             | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.       | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%<br>34.4%<br>64.7% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%<br>34.4%<br>64.7% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%<br>34.4%<br>64.7% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 76.9%<br>38.2%<br>67.6%<br>55.9%<br>72.7%<br>45.5%<br>76.7%<br>40.6%<br>50.0%<br>34.4%<br>64.7% |

DE

[[

## RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): BEECHVIEW (Number of Respondents: 125)

| 1.  | A. General Attitudes Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 83.2%   |
|---|---|---|
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 30.8%   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  | 76.6%   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years  | 16.8%   |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city  | 50.0%   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions   | 37.4%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 11.6%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 62.6%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 59.5%   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 17.0yr  |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 41.6%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | C. Neighboring  |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   | 53.6%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  | 67.2%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   | 98.4%   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 47.2%   |
|   |   |   |
| ,   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  |   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   | 64.0%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood   | 18.4%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 33.6%   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
| ,   | E. Participation  |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 9.6%  |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 9.6%<br>59.5%   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood   | 59.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions  G. Public Services   | 59.5%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions  (% a major problem)  G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)   | 59.5%<br>36.0%  |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%  |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%<br>33.9%<br>44.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%<br>33.9%<br>44.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 4.1% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings. 6.4% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 17.0% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 7.4% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 9.1% 5. Police protection  Muggings 5.9% 6. Fire protection  Muggings 5.0% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 16.0% 8. Traffic control (stop stray dogs | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%<br>33.9%<br>44.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 4.1% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings. 6.4% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 17.0% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 4.1% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings. 6.4% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 17.0% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 7.4% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 9.1% 5. Police protection  Muggings 5.9% 6. Fire protection  Muggings 5.0% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 16.0% 8. Traffic control (stop stray dogs | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 4.1% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings. 6.4% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 17.0% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism  | 59.5%<br>36.0%<br>52.5%<br>42.7%<br>63.6%<br>19.2%<br>41.9%<br>15.7%<br>13.8%                   |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): BELTZHOOVER (Number of Respondents: 57)

|     | A. General Attitudes   |         |
|-----|--|---------|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live                                      | 49.1%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years                                  | 21.18_  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood                                   |         |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 25 09   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey                         | 23.08   |
| ٥.  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions                                      | 26.7%   |
|     | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years                               | 6.7%    |
|     | by weighborhood had improved over past the jearstrivities                      |         |
|     | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |         |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 57.9%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city                                 | 67.9%   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood                                   | 20.0yr. |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood                                      | 52.6%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | C. Neighboring   |         |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  |         |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks                             |         |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency                            |         |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 52.6%   |
|     | D. Hee of Weighborhood Pagilities  |         |
| 1.  | D. Use of Neighborhood Pacilities Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood | 43 09   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood                        | 43.98   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood                        | 28.1%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.                   | 31.6%   |
|     | ose nearth, meartar services rrequently in, near neighborhood,                 | 52.00   |
|     | E. Participation   |         |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization                               | 21.1%   |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization                                    |         |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such                                 |         |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood  | 29.8%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services                                  |         |
|     | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |         |
| 1.  | Vacant buildings 38.6% 1. Street repair  | 56.1%   |
|     | Deteriorated buildings 42.9% 2. Trash/garbage collection                       | 35.1%   |
|     | Cost of housing 19.0% 3. Street cleaning                                       |         |
|     | Vandalism 30.4% 4. Street lighting   | 24.08   |
|     | Burglaries 22.8% 5. Police protection Muggings                                 | 14 09   |
|     | Muggings 14.3% 6. Fire protection  |         |
|     | Litter and garbage 31.6% 8. Traffic control (stop                              | 33.10   |
|     | Stray dogs 50.9% signs, stop lights)   | 21 49   |
|     | Street maintenence 21.1% 9. Animal control                                     |         |
|     | Derect maintenender 22:12 7: Milmal Conclusion                                 | 0,      |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem   |         |
| 1.  | Problem: Housing Related % saying so   | 24.18   |
|     |  |         |
|     | I. School  |         |
| 1.  | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood                                     | 83.3%   |
|     |  |         |
| SOU | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research                       |         |
|     | University of Pittsburgh   |         |
|     |  |         |

OE

| T SURVEY (1980): BLOOMFIELD<br>er of Respondents: 154)   | 4                                |
|--|----------------------------------|
| ellent place to live   | 33.1%<br>70.1%<br>27.3%<br>63.9% |
| Neighborhood Attachment the neighborhood neighborhood than to city s lived in neighborhood in the neighborhood   | 68.7%<br>18.0yr.                 |
| C. Neighboring nes with neighbors  7) neighbors with small tasks  1bors for help in an emergency  1g in neighborhood   | 52.6%<br>94.6%                   |
| of Neighborhood Facilities  y in/near neighborhood  ne frequently in/near neighborhood  y frequently in/near neighborhood  vices frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 63.6% {<br>24.7%                 |
| E. Participation : neighborhood organization :ighborhood organization fraternal or other such neighborhood   | 12.3%                            |
| G. Public Services  (% only fair/poor)  10.5%  Street repair  11.8%  Trash/garbage collection  42.0%  Street cleaning  11.9%  Street lighting  14.0%  Public Services  (% only fair/poor)  Street repair  Trash/garbage collection  Street cleaning  Police protection | 47.1%<br>46.1%<br>57.7%<br>25.3% |
| 14.9% 6. Fire protection   | 16.3%<br>19.9%                   |
| ng % saying so  I. School  |                                  |
| in/near neighborhood  ter for Social and Urban Research ersity of Pittsburgh   | 91.2%                            |
|  |                                  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): BLUFF (Number of Respondents: 43)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                 | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 25.6%<br>62.8%<br>34.9%<br>35.7%                  |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                 | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 56.1%<br>14.5vr                                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.                       | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency          | 63.4%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                 | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood | 23.3%   |
| 1.                                   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization   | 57.1%   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9 | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings  | 55.8%<br>60.5%<br>30.2%<br>55.0%<br>15.0%<br>2.4% |
| 1.                                   | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Litter, Garbage % saying so  | 20.5%   |
| 1.                                   | I. <u>School</u> Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 66.7%   |
| SO                                   | URCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research<br>University of Pittsburgh                           |   |

OL

| er of Respondents: 18)   | 4                  |
|--|--------------------|
| General Attitudes  # 11 ent place to live  | 18 88 28 08 #WAR   |
| Neighborhood Attachment the neighborhood   | 7%<br>8%<br>5yr. { |
| C. Neighboring mes with neighbors  | 1%<br>4% [         |
| of Neighborhood Facilities y in/near neighborhood  | 9%<br>2%           |
| E. Participation neighborhood organization   | 0%                 |
| ### G. Public Services   (% only fair/poor)  | 7%<br>0%           |
| 11.8% 5. Police protection 23 0.0% 6. Fire protection 0 5.6% 7. Public transportation 33 5.6% 8. Traffic control (stop 16.7% signs, stop lights) 17. | 5%<br>0%<br>3%     |
| 16.7% 9. Animal control 11.  arious Neighborhood Problem % saying so 17.  I. School  | 6%                 |
| in/near neighborhood   | 90                 |

NT SURVEY (1980): BON AIR

## RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): BRIGHTON HEIGHTS (Number of Respondents: 99)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | A. General Attitudes  Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  Neighborhood has improved over past two years  Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  Plan to move in next two years  a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city  Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 27.3%<br>81.8%<br>12.1%<br>50.0%                  |
|--|---|---|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood  Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  Median number of years lived in neighborhood  Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 23.0vr  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 54.5%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 69.7%   |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 85.7%   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings  | 23.2%<br>45.4%<br>17.2%<br>40.9%<br>8.6%<br>16.3% |
| 1.   | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 15.4%   |
| 1.   | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 92.6%   |
| SOU  | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh   |   |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): BROADHEAD-FORDING (Number of Respondents: 47)

|   | A. General Attitudes  |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1.  |   | 55.3%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   |   |
|   |   |   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  | 63.88   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years  |   |
|   |   | 21.1%   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions   | 27.1%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 3.6%  |
|   | be werdinger man runbroker over hand can learners.  | 3.00  |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 40.46   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  |   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 4.0yr   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 34.0%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | C. Neighboring  |   |
| 1.  |   | 46.8%   |
|   |   |   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  |   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   | 95.7%   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 40.4%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 83 09   |
| 2.  |   | 44.7%   |
|   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   |   |
| 3.  |   | 12.8%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 12.8%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | E. Participation  |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 6.4%  |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization   |   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such  | 00.00   |
|   | organization in/near neighborhood   |   |
|   | organization in/near neighborhood   | 20 20   |
|   |   | 38.3%   |
|   |   | 38.3%   |
|   | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services   | 38.3%   |
|   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  | 38.3%   |
| 1.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  |   |
|   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 4.3% 1. Street repair   | 60.9%   |
| 2.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 4.3% 1. Street repair Deteriorated buildings 8.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection   | 60.9%   |
| 2.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 4.3% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 8.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection  Cost of housing 25.6% 3. Street cleaning   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 4.3%  Deteriorated buildings 8.7%  Cost of housing 25.6%  Vandalism 29.8%  (% only fair/poor)  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 4.3%  Deteriorated buildings 8.7%  Cost of housing 25.6%  Vandalism 29.8%  Burglaries 23.4%  (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  4. Street lighting  5. Police protection  | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%                                     |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 4.3%  Deteriorated buildings 8.7%  Cost of housing 25.6%  Vandalism 29.8%  Burglaries 23.4%  Muggings 8.9%  (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  4. Street lighting  5. Police protection  6. Fire protection   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 4.3%  Deteriorated buildings 8.7%  Cost of housing 25.6%  Vandalism 29.8%  Burglaries 23.4%  Muggings 8.9%  (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  4. Street lighting  5. Police protection  6. Fire protection   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 4.3%  Deteriorated buildings 8.7%  Cost of housing 25.6%  Vandalism 29.8%  Burglaries 23.4%  Muggings 8.9%  Rats 21.7%  (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  4. Street lighting  6. Fire protection  7. Public transportation   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%<br>21.7%<br>57.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%<br>21.7%<br>57.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%<br>21.7%<br>57.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%<br>21.7%<br>57.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%<br>21.7%<br>57.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%<br>21.7%<br>57.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Vacant buildings 4.3% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 8.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 25.6% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 29.8% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 23.4% 5. Police protection  Muggings 8.9% 6. Fire protection  Rats 21.7% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 17.0% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 29.8% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 29.8% 9. Animal control  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  Problem: Youth Related % saying so  I. School  Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%<br>21.7%<br>57.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings   | 60.9%<br>26.1%<br>60.0%<br>13.0%<br>56.8%<br>21.2%<br>45.5%<br>21.7%<br>57.8% |

## RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): BROOKLINE (Number of Respondents: 146)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |  |
|---|--|--|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 86 38  |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 22.4%  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 81.4%  |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 15.18  |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 52.4%  |
| 5.  | Residents opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |  |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 47.9%  |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 10.4%  |
|   |  |  |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |  |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 57.5%  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 58.3%  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 20.5yr   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 50.0%  |
|   | O Waishbasisa  |  |
| 1.  | C. Neighboring   |  |
| 2.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 04.48  |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 70.5%  |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 50.09  |
|   | -are rest in a result in a result in a result in the rest in a res | 30.05  |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |  |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 63.78  |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 70.5%  |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 18.5%  |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 42.5%  |
|   |  |  |
|   | E. Participation   |  |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 11.6%  |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 75.8%  |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |  |
|   | organization in/near neighborhood  |  |
|   |  | 38.4%  |
|   | P. Noighborhood Conditions G. Dull' G.   | 38.4%  |
|   | F. Neighborhood Conditions  G. Public Services   | 38.4%  |
| 1   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |  |
| 1.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 1.4% 1. Street repair  | 49.0%  |
| 2.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 1.4% 1. Street repair Deteriorated buildings 0.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection  | 49.0%  |
| 2.  | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 1.4%  Deteriorated buildings 0.7%  Cost of housing 16.7%  (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 1.4%  Deteriorated buildings 0.7%  Cost of housing 16.7%  Vandalism 7.6%  (% only fair/poor)  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  4. Street lighting  | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 1.4%  Deteriorated buildings 0.7%  Cost of housing 16.7%  Vandalism 7.6%  Burglaries 11.2%  (% only fair/poor)  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  4. Street lighting  5. Police protection  | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%                                    |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 1.4%  Deteriorated buildings 0.7%  Cost of housing 16.7%  Vandalism 7.6%  Burglaries 11.2%  Muggings 0.7%  Street cleaning  Fire protection  6. Fire protection  | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 1.4%  Deteriorated buildings 0.7%  Cost of housing 16.7%  Vandalism 7.6%  Burglaries 11.2%  Muggings 0.7%  Rats 6.9%  (% only fair/poor)  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  4. Street lighting  5. Police protection  6.9%  7. Public transportation  | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 1.4% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 0.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection  Cost of housing 16.7% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 7.6% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 11.2% 5. Police protection  Muggings 0.7% 6. Fire protection  Rats 6.9% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 15.2% 8. Traffic control (stop signs, stop lights)   | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 1.4% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 16.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection  Cost of housing 16.7% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 7.6% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 11.2% 5. Police protection  Muggings 0.7% 6. Fire protection  Rats 6.9% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 15.2% 8. Traffic control (stop signs, stop lights)  | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 1.4% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings. 0.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection  Cost of housing 16.7% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 7.6% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 11.2% 5. Police protection  Muggings 0.7% 6. Fire protection  Rats 6.9% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 15.2% 8. Traffic control (stop stray dogs   | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 1.4% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings. 0.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection  Cost of housing 16.7% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 7.6% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 11.2% 5. Police protection  Muggings 0.7% 6. Fire protection  Rats 6.9% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 15.2% 8. Traffic control (stop stray dogs 13.8% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 16.7% 9. Animal control   | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 1.4% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings. 0.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection  Cost of housing 16.7% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 7.6% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 11.2% 5. Police protection  Muggings 0.7% 6. Fire protection  Rats 6.9% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 15.2% 8. Traffic control (stop stray dogs   | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings  | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings   | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%<br>18.4%<br>37.6% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings  | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%<br>18.4%<br>37.6% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings   | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%<br>18.4%<br>37.6% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings   | 49.0%<br>25.3%<br>58.9%<br>13.7%<br>38.4%<br>9.6%<br>19.0%<br>18.4%<br>37.6% |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): CALIFORNIA AVENUE (Number of Respondents: 15)

|     | A. General Attitudes   |        |
|-----|--|--------|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live                    | 53.3%  |
| -2  | Neighborhood has-improved-over past two years                |        |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood                 |        |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years                               |        |
| -   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city       | 33.38  |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey       |        |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions                    | 7.7%   |
|     | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years             | 1.15   |
|     | D. Walabhankara Akkarkarak                                   |        |
| ,   | B. Neighborhood Attachment                                   | 40 00  |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood                        | 40.05  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city               | 10 0   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood                 | 10.UAL |
| 4.  | have relatives living in the neighborhood                    | 33.35  |
|     | C Neighboring  |        |
| 1.  | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors       | 12 29  |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks           | 15.30  |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency          |        |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood                      | 46 79  |
| 4.  | have best fiftend fiving in heighborhood                     | 40.75  |
|     | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities                            |        |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood                 | 46 79  |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood      |        |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood      | 13 39  |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 13.36  |
|     | obe meaten, meateat services frequency in hear heighborhood. | 33.30  |
|     | E. Participation   |        |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization             | 13.39  |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization                  | 50.08  |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such               |        |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood                            | 40.0%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services                |        |
|     | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)                       |        |
| 1.  | Vacant buildings 46.7% 1. Street repair                      | 66.7%  |
| 2.  | Deteriorated buildings 53.3% 2. Trash/garbage collection     |        |
| 3.  | Cost of housing 16.7% 3. Street cleaning                     |        |
| 4.  | Vandalism 20.0% 4. Street lighting                           | 26.7%  |
|     | Burglaries 20.0% 5. Police protection                        |        |
| 6.  | Muggings 7.1% 6. Fire protection                             |        |
| 7.  | Rats 33.3% 7. Public transportation                          | 33.3%  |
|     | Litter and garbage 53.3% 8. Traffic control (stop            |        |
|     | Stray dogs 26.7% signs, stop lights)                         |        |
| 10. | Street maintenence 40.0% 9. Animal control                   | 50.0%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem                         |        |
| 1.  | Problem: Housing Related % saying so                         | 28.6%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | I. School  |        |
| 1.  | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood                   | 80.00  |
|     |  |        |
| SOU | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research     |        |
|     | University of Pittsburgh                                     |        |
|     |  |        |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): CARRICK (Number of Respondents: 146)

| 1.       | A. General Attitudes Neighborhood good/excellent place to live Neighborhood has improved over past two years                                      | 82.2%<br>21.7%          |
|----------|---|-------------------------|
| 3.       |   | 77.2%<br>19.9%<br>58.3% |
| 5.       | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 49.7%<br>8.6%           |
|          | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |                         |
| 2.       | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 67.8%                   |
| 3.       | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 21.0y                   |
| ٦.       |   | 42.50                   |
| 1.       | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 69.0%                   |
| 2.       | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  | 73.3%                   |
| 3.       | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 51.4%                   |
|          | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |                         |
| 1.       | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  |                         |
| 2.       | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   |                         |
| 3.<br>4. | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood<br>Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.                           | 28.1%<br>45.9%          |
|          | E. Participation  |                         |
| 1.       | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  |                         |
| 2.       | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   | 83.3%                   |
|          | organization in/near neighborhood   | 45.2%                   |
|          | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services   |                         |
| ,        | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  | E4 09                   |
|          | Vacant buildings 2.7% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 3.4% 2. Trash/garbage collection   | 20.5%                   |
|          | Cost of housing 17.2% 3. Street cleaning  |                         |
|          | Vandalism 9.0% 4. Street lighting   |                         |
|          | Burglaries 6.5% 5. Police protection  |                         |
|          | Muggings 6.3% 6. Fire protection  |                         |
|          | Rats 4.8% 7. Public transportation  | 24.8%                   |
|          |   |                         |
| 9.       | Litter and garbage 11.0% 8. Traffic control (stop   |                         |
|          | Stray dogs 11.0% signs, stop lights)  | 22.8%                   |
|          | Stray dogs  | 22.8%                   |
| 10.      | Stray dogs  | 22.8%<br>35.7%          |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT (Number of Respondents: 48)

| A. General Attitudes  1. Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  |    |
|---|----|
| B. Neighborhood Attachment  1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | r. |
| C. Neighboring  1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  |    |
| D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  |    |
| E. Participation  1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |    |
| F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  1. Vacant buildings 19.6% 2. Deteriorated buildings 51.2% 3. Cost of housing 53.5% 4. Vandalism |    |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  1. Problem:Crime Related % saying so 30.2%  |    |
| I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  |    |
| SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh  |    |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): CENTRAL NORTH SIDE (Number of Respondents: 122)

|  | A. General Attitudes  |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live   | 55.7%   |
| 2.   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 50.4%   |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  | 60.3%   |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years  | 20.5%   |
|  |   | 36.4%   |
| 5.   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |   |
|  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions   | 24.7%   |
|  | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 26.3%   |
|  |   |   |
|  | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |   |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 66.7%   |
| 2.   | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 71.7%   |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 17.5yr  |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 46.3%   |
|  |   |   |
|  | C. Neighboring  |   |
| 1.   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   | 43.4%   |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  | 53.3%   |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   | 94.9%   |
| 4.   | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 45.1%   |
|  |   |   |
|  | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |   |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 59.8%   |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   | 41.8%   |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood   | 18.0%   |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 64.8%   |
|  |   |   |
|  | E. Participation  |   |
| _  |   |   |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 30.3%   |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 30.3%   |
|  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization   | 30.3%<br>68.4%  |
| 2.   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such  | 68.4%   |
|  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization   | 68.4%   |
|  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood   | 68.4%   |
|  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  | 68.4%   |
| 2.   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)   | 68.4%   |
| 2.   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 68.4%<br>36.1%<br>58.3%   |
| 2.<br>1.<br>2.                                     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 68.4%<br>36.1%<br>58.3%<br>48.8%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.                                     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 47.9% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 46.3% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 37.2% 3. Street cleaning | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                               | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                         | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                         | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.             | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.       | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%<br>29.6% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%<br>29.6% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%<br>29.6% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%<br>29.6%<br>49.6% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%<br>29.6%<br>49.6% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 58.3%<br>48.8%<br>64.7%<br>20.5%<br>61.7%<br>17.5%<br>18.8%<br>29.6%<br>49.6% |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): CRAFTON HEIGHTS-WESTWOOD-OAKWOOD (Number of Respondents: 136)

| 1. Neighborhood good/excellent place to live   |   | A. General Attitudes   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| 3. Satisfied with city services in neighborhood. 4. Plan to move in next two years. 5. Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions. 5. Neighborhood has improved over past two years. 5. Neighborhood has improved over past two years. 6. Neighborhood. 7. Peel more loyalty to neighborhood. 7. Peel more loyalty to neighborhood. 8. Median number of years lived in neighborhood. 8. Median number of years lived in neighborhood. 9. Wisit often or sometimes with neighborhood. 9. Wisit often or sometimes with neighbors. 9. Neighboring 1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors. 9. Seel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency. 94. Bave best friend living in neighborhood. 94. Bave best friend living in neighborhood. 94. Bave best friend living in neighborhood. 95. Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood. 96. Seel Can call on neighborhood Facilities 97. Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood. 98. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 99. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 99. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 99. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Participation 1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 99. Seel Belong to a |   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 86.0%  |
| a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city. 66.7% a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city. 66.7% a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions. 50.5% b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years. 14.9% b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years. 14.9%  B. Neighborhood Attachment  1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood. 61.0% 2. Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city. 59.2% 3. Median number of years lived in neighborhood. 16.5yr 4. Have relatives living in the neighborhood. 38.3%  C. Neighboring 1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors. 56.6% 2. Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks. 66.2% 3. Feel can call on neighbor for help in an emergency. 94.8% 4. Have best friend living in neighborhood. 48.5%  D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities 1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood. 54.4% 3. Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood. 54.4% 3. Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood. 54.4% 4. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 99.9% 4. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 77.2% Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood. 99.9% 4. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 99.9% 5. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 79.1% 6. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 79.1% 7. Neighborhood Conditions 8. Participation 1. Vacant buildings. 0.7% 1. Street repair. 54.1% 7. Neighborhood Conditions 9. Public Services 9. Rats. 0.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection 37.0% 3. Cost of housing 17.2% 3. Street cleaning 51.1% 4. Vandalism   |   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | -28.4%   |
| a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city. 66.7% seidents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions. 50.5% b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years. 14.9% B. Neighborhood Attachment  1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood. 61.0% Peel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city. 59.2% Median number of years lived in neighborhood. 16.5% Have relatives living in the neighborhood. 38.3% G. Neighboring C. Neighboring Seighbors. 56.6% Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks. 66.2% Peel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency. 94.8% Have best friend living in neighborhood. 48.5% D. Use of Neighborhood Pacilities  1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood. 77.2% Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood. 19.9% Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 19.9% Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 33.1% De recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood. 74.4% Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 33.1% E. Participation  1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 79.1% 2. Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood. 40.4%  F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services (% anajor problem)  1. Vacant buildings. 0.7% 1. Street repair. 54.1% 2. Deteriorated buildings. 2.2% 2. Trash/garbage collection 37.0% 3. Cost of housing 17.2% 3. Street cleaning. 51.1% 4. Vandalism. 4.4% 4. Street lighting. 15.6% 5. Burglaries. 3.7% 5. Police protection. 47.1% 6. Muggings. 0.8% 6. Fire protection. 27.9% 7. Rats. 3.0% 7. Public transportation. 38.3% 7. Public transportation. 38.3% 8. Litter and garbage. 9.6% 8. Traffic control (stop 9. Stray dogs. 14.8% signs, stop lights) 29.5% 10. Street maintenence % saying so. 30.3% 10. Street maintenence % saying so. 30.3 |   | Plan to move in next two years   | 16 99  |
| a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | **  | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 66.7%  |
| a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |  |
| B. Neighborhood Attachment  1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood   |   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 50.5%  |
| 1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood   |   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 14.9%  |
| 1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood   |   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |  |
| 4. Have relatives living in heighborhood   |   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 61.0%  |
| 4. Have relatives living in the neighborhood   |   | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 59.2%  |
| C. Neighboring  1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   |   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 16.5yr   |
| 1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   |   | have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 30.35  |
| 2. Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  |   | C. Neighboring   |  |
| 3. Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   |   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 56.6%  |
| D. Use of Neighborhood   |   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 94 89  |
| D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   |   | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 48.5%  |
| 1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  |   |  |  |
| 2. Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   | 1   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |  |
| 3. Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood. 19.9% 4. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 33.1%  E. Participation 1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization. 7.4% a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization. 79.1% 2. Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood. 40.4%  F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services (% only fair/poor) 1. Vacant buildings. 0.7% 1. Street repair. 54.1% 2. Deteriorated buildings. 2.2% 2. Trash/garbage collection 37.0% 3. Cost of housing. 17.2% 3. Street cleaning. 51.1% 4. Vandalism. 4.4% 4. Street lighting. 15.6% 5. Burglaries. 3.7% 5. Police protection. 47.1% 6. Muggings. 0.8% 6. Fire protection. 27.9% 7. Rats. 3.0% 7. Public transportation 38.3% 8. Litter and garbage. 9.6% 8. Traffic control (stop 9. Stray dogs. 14.8% signs, stop lights). 29.5% 10. Street maintenence. 25.0% 9. Animal control. 42.0%  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem 1. Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so. 30.3%  SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   |   |  |  |
| E. Participation  1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  |   |  |  |
| 1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 4.  |  |  |
| 1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  |   | P Participation  |  |
| a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 1.  |  | 7.48   |
| ## P. Neighborhood Conditions   G. Public Services (% a major problem)   (% only fair/poor)    1. Vacant buildings 0.7%   1. Street repair 54.1%    2. Deteriorated buildings 2.2%   2. Trash/garbage collection 37.0%    3. Cost of housing 17.2%   3. Street cleaning 51.1%    4. Vandalism 4.4%   4. Street lighting 15.6%    5. Burglaries 3.7%   5. Police protection 47.1%    6. Muggings 0.8%   6. Fire protection 27.9%    7. Rats 3.0%   7. Public transportation 38.3%    8. Litter and garbage 9.6%   8. Traffic control (stop 9. Stray dogs 14.8%   signs, stop lights) 29.5%    10. Street maintenence 25.0%   9. Animal control 42.0%    ### Most Serious Neighborhood Problem    1. Problem: Street Repair and Maintenence   % saying so 30.3%    1. School    1. School    1. School    1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood 78.4%    SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   |   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 79.1%  |
| F. Neighborhood Conditions   | 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |  |
| (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         1. Vacant buildings   |   | organization in/near neighborhood  | 40.4%  |
| (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         1. Vacant buildings   |   | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |  |
| 2. Deteriorated buildings. 2.2% 2. Trash/garbage collection 37.0% 3. Cost of housing. 17.2% 3. Street cleaning. 51.1% 4. Vandalism. 4.4% 4. Street lighting. 15.6% 5. Burglaries. 3.7% 5. Police protection. 47.1% 6. Muggings. 0.8% 6. Fire protection. 27.9% 7. Rats. 3.0% 7. Public transportation. 38.3% 8. Litter and garbage. 9.6% 8. Traffic control (stop 9. Stray dogs. 14.8% signs, stop lights). 29.5% 10. Street maintenence. 25.0% 9. Animal control. 42.0% H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem 1. Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so. 30.3% I. School 1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood. 78.4% SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   |   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |  |
| 3. Cost of housing   | 1.  |  | E 4 1 4  |
| 4. Vandalism   | 4.  |  |  |
| 5. Burglaries  | 3   |  | 37.0%  |
| 6. Muggings  | 3.  | Cost of housing 17.2% 3. Street cleaning   | 37.0%<br>51.1%   |
| 8. Litter and garbage 9.6% 8. Traffic control (stop 9. Stray dogs  | 3.<br>4.                                      | Cost of housing 17.2% 3. Street cleaning<br>Vandalism 4.4% 4. Street lighting  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%  |
| 9. Stray dogs  | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | Vandalism  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%                            |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  1. Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so 30.3%  I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Vandalism  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%                            |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  1. Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so 30.3%  I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Cost of housing 17.2% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 4.4% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 3.7% 5. Police protection  Muggings 0.8% 6. Fire protection  Rats 3.0% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 9.6% 8. Traffic control (stop            | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%<br>38.3%                   |
| 1. Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so 30.3%  I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Cost of housing 17.2% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 4.4% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 3.7% 5. Police protection  Muggings 0.8% 6. Fire protection  Rats 3.0% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 9.6% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%<br>38.3%                   |
| I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Cost of housing  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%<br>38.3%                   |
| <ol> <li>Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood</li></ol>  | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Cost of housing  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%<br>38.3%<br>29.5%<br>42.0% |
| SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research  | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Cost of housing  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%<br>38.3%<br>29.5%<br>42.0% |
|  | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Cost of housing  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%<br>38.3%<br>29.5%<br>42.0% |
|  | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Cost of housing  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%<br>38.3%<br>29.5%<br>42.0% |
|  | 3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Cost of housing  | 37.0%<br>51.1%<br>15.6%<br>47.1%<br>27.9%<br>38.3%<br>29.5%<br>42.0% |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): EAST BROOKLINE-OVERBROOK (Number of Respondents: 86)

|                       | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| ,                     | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 90 54   |
| 1.                    | Neighborhood good/excertent place to live  | 27.75   |
| 2.                    |  | 27.7%   |
| 3.                    | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 73.8%   |
| 4.                    |  | 10.5%   |
|                       | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 42.9%   |
| 5.                    | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
| ٠.                    |  | 52.3%   |
|                       |  | 13.8%   |
|                       | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 13.05   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1.                    | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 69.8%   |
| 2.                    | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 55.4%   |
| 3.                    | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   |   |
| 3.                    | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  |   |
| 4.                    | have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 34.35   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       | C. <u>Neighboring</u>  |   |
| 1.                    | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 70.9%   |
| 2.                    |  | 74.4%   |
| 3.                    | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  |   |
|                       | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 50.0%   |
| 4.                    | have best filend fiving in neighborhood  | 50.08   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |   |
| 1.                    | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 65.1%   |
| 2.                    |  | 67.4%   |
| 3.                    | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 24.4%   |
|                       |  | 31.4%   |
| 4.                    | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 31.46   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 7.0%  |
|                       | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 86.7%   |
| 2.                    | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |   |
|                       | organization in/near neighborhood  | 24 49   |
|                       | Organizacion in/hear neighborhood  | 24.45   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |   |
|                       | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |   |
| 1.                    | Vacant buildings 1.2% 1. Street repair   | 51.8%   |
|                       | Deteriorated buildings 3.5% 2. Trash/garbage collection  | 22.1%   |
|                       | Cost of housing 16.9% 3. Street cleaning   | 62 79   |
|                       | The state of the s | O - 1 0   |
|                       |  |   |
| 5.                    |  | 18.8%   |
| _                     | Burglaries 8.3% 5. Police protection   | 18.8%   |
| ٥.                    | Burglaries   | 18.8%   |
| 7.                    | Burglaries   | 18.8%<br>36.3%<br>6.3%                            |
| 7.                    | Burglaries   | 18.8%<br>36.3%<br>6.3%                            |
| 7.                    | Burglaries   | 18.8%<br>36.3%<br>6.3%<br>36.5%                   |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Burglaries   | 18.8%<br>36.3%<br>6.3%<br>36.5%                   |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Burglaries   | 18.8%<br>36.3%<br>6.3%<br>36.5%<br>24.7%<br>43.4% |

| SURVEY (1980): EAST CARNEGIE                 |     |
|--|-----|
| er of Respondents: 12)                       | -   |
| . General Attitudes                          | ì   |
| ellent place to live 75.0%                   |     |
| oved over past two years 66.7%               | - 1 |
| ervices in neighborhood 66.7%                | ě   |
| :wo years 16.7%                              |     |
| ers, percent moving out of the city 50.0%    | #   |
| om 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey            |     |
| ighborhood conditions 20.0%                  |     |
| improved over past two years 0.0%            |     |
| Neighborhood Attachment                      | -   |
| :he neighborhood                             |     |
| neighborhood than to city 58.3%              | -   |
| ; lived in neighborhood 15.5yr.              |     |
| in the neighborhood 41.7%                    | 1   |
| In the neighborhood                          |     |
| C. Neighboring                               | 1   |
| ies with neighbors                           | 1   |
| ') neighbors with small tasks 83.3%          |     |
| bors for help in an emergency100.0%          |     |
|  |     |
| g in neighborhood 66.7%                      | 1   |
| of Waighbarhood Pagilibias                   |     |
| of Neighborhood Facilities                   | £   |
| y in/near neighborhood 58.3%                 | -   |
| e frequently in/near neighborhood 66.7%      | - 1 |
| y frequently in/near neighborhood 0.0%       |     |
| vices frequently in/near neighborhood. 25.0% | I   |
|  |     |
| E. Participation                             |     |
| neighborhood organization 25.0%              |     |
| ighborhood organization 75.0%                | 1   |
| fraternal or other such                      | -   |
| neighborhood 41.7%                           |     |
|  | 11  |
| tions G. Public Services                     | 1   |
| em) (% only fair/poor)                       |     |
| 0.0% 1. Street repair 91.7%                  |     |
| 8.3% 2. Trash/garbage collection 25.0%       |     |
| 10.0% 3. Street cleaning 58.3%               |     |
| 0.0% 4. Street lighting 33.3%                |     |
| 18.2% 5. Police protection 66.7%             |     |
| 0.0% 6. Fire protection 45.5%                |     |
| 0.0% 7. Public transportation 8.3%           |     |
| 16.7% 8. Traffic control (stop               |     |
| 16.7% signs, stop lights) 41.7%              | 1   |
| 33.3% 9. Animal control 33.3%                |     |
|  |     |
| erious Neighborhood Problem                  |     |
| ng % saying so 27.3%                         |     |
| 2 2 2  |     |
| I. School                                    |     |
| in/near neighborhood100.0%                   | -   |
|  |     |
| ter for Social and Urban Research            |     |
| ersity of Pittsburgh                         |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): EAST HILLS (Number of Respondents: 57)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | A. General Attitudes  Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 20.4%  |
|--|--|--|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 57.1%<br>13.0yr                                    |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 42.1%<br>44.6%<br>94.6%<br>50.9%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Pacilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 63.2%<br>24.6%<br>14.0%<br>21.1%                   |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  | 8.8%<br>63.6%<br>35.1%                             |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 7.1%  Deteriorated buildings 12.5%  Cost of housing   | 26.3%<br>46.2%<br>26.3%<br>58.8%<br>13.5%<br>41.1% |
| 1.   | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so   | 17.3%  |
|  | I. <u>School</u> Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 64.3%  |
| SOU  | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh  |  |

| r of Respondents: 71)   |  |
|---|--|
| General Attitudes  llent place to live  |  |
| Neighborhood Attachment he neighborhood   |  |
| C. Neighboring es with neighbors  |  |
| of Neighborhood Facilities y in/near neighborhood   |  |
| neighborhood organization   |  |
| G. Public Services (% only fair/poor) 14.1% 1. Street repair  |  |
| 9.9% signs, stop lights) 23.2% 14.1% 9. Animal control 33.3% Prious Neighborhood Problem % saying so 29.5%  I. School |  |
| .n/near neighborhood  |  |

SURVEY (1980): EAST LIBERTY

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): EAST NORTH SIDE (Number of Respondents: 92)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | A. General Attitudes Neighborhood good/excellent place to live Neighborhood has improved over past two years Satisfied with city services in neighborhood Plan to move in next two years a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 34.8%<br>69.7%<br>18.5%<br>30.8%<br>28.9%          |
|--|---|--|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 75.9%<br>25.0yr                                    |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 84.4%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 77.2%<br>57.6%<br>17.4%<br>72.8%                   |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood   |  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings  | 50.6%<br>56.8%<br>25.6%<br>45.2%<br>15.1%<br>26.4% |
| 1.   | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 15.3%  |
|  | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 89.5%  |
| SOU  | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research  |  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): ELLIOT-WEST END VALLEY (Number of Respondents: 54)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |  |
|---|--|--|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 70.4%  |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  |  |
|   | California with alternation in a laboratory  | 34.05  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 12.28  |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 16.7%  |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 66.7%  |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |  |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 25.0%  |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 9.3%   |
|   | b. Reighborhood has improved over past two years   | 3.35   |
|   | Walabaahaad Marahaad   |  |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |  |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  |  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 62.3%  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 20.5vr   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 44.4%  |
|   | The result of th |  |
|   | C. Neighboring   |  |
| 1   |  | E2 70  |
| 1.  |  | 53.7%  |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   |  |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 96.2%  |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 59.3%  |
|   |  |  |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |  |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 42 69  |
|   | Strend church (supercondition)   | 55.6%  |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 33.06  |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 5.6%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 11.1%  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   | E. Participation   |  |
| 1.  | E. Participation Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.19  |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |  |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |  |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   | 84.2%  |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%  |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%  |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%  |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%  |
| 1. 2.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%                                    |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 5.6% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 9.3% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 14.6% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 20.4% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 9.4% 5. Police protection  Muggings 1.9% 6. Fire protection  Rats 3.7% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 7.4% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 25.9% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 20.4% 9. Animal control  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%<br>15.4%<br>44.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%<br>15.4%<br>44.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%<br>15.4%<br>44.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 84.2%<br>33.3%<br>50.9%<br>20.4%<br>58.3%<br>14.8%<br>36.4%<br>9.6%<br>15.1%<br>15.4%<br>44.0% |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): ESPLEN (Number of Respondents: 12)

|  | A. General Attitudes  |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live 66.   | 7%                                      |
| 2.   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years 41.   | 7€                                      |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood 50.0   | 9.0                                     |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years 8.:  | 3%                                      |
|  | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city 0.0  | 9€                                      |
| 5.   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |   |
|  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions 19.0  | 80                                      |
|  | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years 23.1   | 88                                      |
|  |   |   |
|  | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |   |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 3%                                      |
| 2.   | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city 60.0   |   |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood 22.  |   |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   |   |
|  | auto reactive artists and many more many more many more many many more many |   |
|  | C. Neighboring  |   |
| 1.   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors 75.   | 0.8                                     |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks100.  |   |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency 91.   | 79                                      |
| 4.   | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 79                                      |
| 4.   | nave best filend fiving in herghbothood   | 10                                      |
|  | D. Hos of Weighborhood Pagilibias   |   |
| 1  | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   | 24                                      |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 26                                      |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood 75.   |   |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood 0.  |   |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 16.  | 18                                      |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  | E. Participation  |   |
| 1.   | E. Participation Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |   |
|  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  |   |
| 1.   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 90                                      |
|  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 90                                      |
|  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 90                                      |
|  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 90                                      |
| 2.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%                                |
| 2.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%<br>7%                          |
| 2.<br>1.<br>2.                                     | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%<br>7%<br>3%                    |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.                                     | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%<br>7%<br>3%<br>9%              |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                               | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%<br>7%<br>3%<br>9%              |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                               | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%<br>7%<br>3%<br>9%              |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                         | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%<br>7%<br>3%<br>9%<br>3%        |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%<br>7%<br>7%<br>9%<br>3%<br>2%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.             | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0%<br>7%<br>7%<br>7%<br>9%<br>3%<br>2%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.       | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 %<br>7 %<br>7 % % % %<br>9 % %<br>2 % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 0 % 7 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): FRIENDSHIP (Number of Respondents: 40)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | A. General Attitudes Neighborhood good/excellent place to live Neighborhood has improved over past two years Satisfied with city services in neighborhood Plan to move in next two years a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 28.2%<br>62.5%<br>47.5%<br>58.8%                   |
|--|---|--|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 59.0%<br>48.7%<br>4.0v                             |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 45.0%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 32.5%  |
| 1.                                     | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 40.0%  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings  | 47.5%<br>76.9%<br>47.4%<br>51.4%<br>22.6%<br>35.1% |
| 1.                                     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 37.1%  |
|  | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 69.2%  |
|  | University of Pittsburgh  |  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): GARFIELD (Number of Respondents: 111)

| b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  B. Neighborhood Attachment  | 31.2%<br>62.7%<br>24.3%<br>25.9%<br>13.6%<br>6.5%  |
|---|--|
| 1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 49.0%<br>13.0yr                                    |
| C. Neighboring  1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 55.0%<br>96.2%                                     |
| D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  2. Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  3. Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  4. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 40.5%  |
| E. Participation  1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 78.3%  |
| F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  1. Vacant buildings   | 40.0%<br>56.1%<br>12.7%<br>56.9%<br>18.8%<br>35.8% |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  1. Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 26.7%  |
| 1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 82.4%  |
| SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh  |  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): GREENFIELD (Number of Respondents: 144)

|   | A. General Attitudes  |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live   | 87.5%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 29.6%   |
|   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  |   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with City Services in neighborhood  | 74.56   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years  | 24.95   |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city  | 50.0%   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |   |
| -   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions   | 53.7%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 15.2%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 72.28   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 72 39   |
|   | reel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 20 0  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  |   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 54.9%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | C. Neighboring  |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   | 52.1%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  |   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   | 95.78   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 78.59   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   | 56.9%   |
|   |   |   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood   | 28.5%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 38.2%   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   | E. Participation  |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  |   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   | 87.0%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%  |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%  |
| 1. 2. 3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%   |
| 1. 2. 3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%   |
| 1. 2. 3. 4.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%<br>50.7%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%<br>50.7%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings    | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%<br>50.7%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%<br>50.7%<br>16.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings    | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%<br>50.7%<br>16.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%<br>50.7%<br>16.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 87.0%<br>39.6%<br>51.7%<br>41.0%<br>54.7%<br>16.7%<br>44.6%<br>9.2%<br>25.4%<br>33.1%<br>50.7%<br>16.2% |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): HARPEN HILLTOP (Number of Respondents: 23)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |
|---|--|
| 1. Neigh  | borhood good/excellent place to live 82.6%                                 |
|   | borhood has improved over past two years 17.4%                             |
| 3. Satis  | fied with city services in neighborhood 72.7%                              |
| 4. Plan   | to move in next two years  |
| 4. Fian   | Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city100.0%                  |
| r Deald   | or potential movers, percent moving out of the city                        |
|   | ents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey                          |
| a.  | Satisfied with neighborhood conditions 43.5%                               |
| D. 1  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years 3.2%                         |
|   | 5 Walaki akan 1 Mahadanan  |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |
| 1. Strong   | gly attached to the neighborhood   |
|   | more loyalty to neighborhood than to city 66.7%                            |
|   | n number of years lived in neighborhood 14.5yr                             |
| 4. Have   | relatives living in the neighborhood 30.4%                                 |
|   |  |
|   | C. Neighboring   |
| <ol> <li>Visit</li> </ol>   | often or sometimes with neighbors 69.6%                                    |
| 2. Help   | (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks 82.6%                        |
| 3. Feel   | can call on neighbors for help in an emergency100.0%                       |
| 4. Have   | best friend living in neighborhood   |
|   |  |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |
| <ol> <li>Groce:</li> </ol>  | ry shop frequently in/near neighborhood 56.5%                              |
| 2. Atten  | d church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood 56.5%                   |
|   | ecreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood 21.7%                   |
|   | ealth/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 52.2%              |
|   |  |
|   | E. Participation   |
| 1. Belon  | g to at least one neighborhood organization 0.0%                           |
|   | Satisfied with neighborhood organization 50.0%                             |
|   | g to church, PTA, fraternal or other such                                  |
|   | anization in/near neighborhood   |
| 019   | anizacion in/ near neighborhood:   |
| F N   | eighborhood Conditions G. Public Services                                  |
| 2   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)                                     |
| 1 Vacan   | t buildings 0.0% 1. Street repair 52.2%                                    |
|   | iorated buildings 0.0% 2. Trash/garbage collection 34.8%                   |
|   | of housing 11.8% 3. Street cleaning 68.4%                                  |
|   |  |
|   | lism   |
| o. Burgi  |  |
| 6 4   |  |
| <ol><li>Muggi</li></ol>   | ngs 4.3% 6. Fire protection 15.8%  |
| <ol> <li>Muggi</li> <li>Rats.</li> </ol>                              | ngs 4.3% 6. Fire protection 15.8% 4.5% 7. Public transportation 30.4%      |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte                                      | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray                          | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray                          | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray                          | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray<br>10. Stree             | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray<br>10. Stree             | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray<br>10. Stree             | ### Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  ################################### |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray<br>10. Stree             | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray<br>10. Stree             | ### Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  ################################### |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray<br>10. Stree<br>1. Probl | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray<br>10. Stree<br>1. Probl | ngs  |
| 6. Muggi<br>7. Rats.<br>8. Litte<br>9. Stray<br>10. Stree<br>1. Probl | ngs  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): HAZELWOOD-GLENWOOD-GLEN HAZEL (Number of Respondents: 126)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 64.3%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 46.0%   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 64.3%   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 19.0%   |
| ٠.  | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 31.8%   |
| -   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   | 32.00   |
| 5.  | Residents Opinion from 1979 Regimornood actas Salvey   | 22 06   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 24.06   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 14.08   |
|   | P. Weighborhood Standback  |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   | cc 10   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 50.45   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 59.5%   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 30.0yr  |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 59.5%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | C. Neighboring   | CO 70   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 62.78   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 71.2%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 98.4%   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 66.7%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   |   |
| 2.  |  | 68.3%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 11.9%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 30.2%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 15.9%   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 60.0%   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |   |
|   | organization in/near neighborhood  | 45.2%   |
|   | 0294112442011 211/ 11042 110431104411111111111111111111111111111   |   |
|   | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |   |
| 1   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   | 40.5%   |
|   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 26.6% 1. Street repair   |   |
| 2.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 26.6% 1. Street repair Deteriorated buildings 22.8% 2. Trash/garbage collection  | 36.5%   |
| 2.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 26.6% 1. Street repair Deteriorated buildings 22.8% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 14.3% 3. Street cleaning   | 36.5%<br>52.8%  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 26.6% Deteriorated buildings. 22.8% Cost of housing 14.3% Vandalism 17.9% (% only fair/poor) 1. Street repair 2. Trash/garbage collection 3. Street cleaning 4. Street lighting  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 26.6% Deteriorated buildings. 22.8% Cost of housing 14.3% Vandalism 17.9% Burglaries 17.6%  (% only fair/poor) 1. Street repair  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%                                    |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 26.6% Deteriorated buildings. 22.8% Cost of housing 14.3% Vandalism 17.9% Burglaries 17.6% Muggings 6.6%  (% only fair/poor) 1. Street repair  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 26.6% Deteriorated buildings. 22.8% Cost of housing 14.3% Vandalism 17.9% Burglaries 17.6%  (% only fair/poor) 1. Street repair  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 26.6%  Deteriorated buildings. 22.8%  Cost of housing 14.3%  Vandalism 17.9%  Burglaries 17.6%  Muggings 6.6%  Rats 10.5%  Litter and garbage 26.4%  (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  5. Police protection  6.6%  6. Fire protection  7. Public transportation  8. Traffic control (stop | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%<br>23.5%          |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%<br>23.5%          |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%<br>23.5%<br>53.2% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%<br>23.5%<br>53.2% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%<br>23.5%<br>53.2% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%<br>23.5%<br>53.2% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%<br>23.5%<br>53.2% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings  | 36.5%<br>52.8%<br>18.4%<br>50.9%<br>7.6%<br>37.2%<br>23.5%<br>53.2% |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): HIGHLAND PARK (Number of Respondents: 178)

|     | A. General Attitudes  |        |
|-----|---|--------|
| 1.  |   | 83.1%  |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 19.8%  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  |        |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years  |        |
| -   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city  | 63.6%  |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  | E0 45  |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years                      | 10 00  |
|     | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 10.06  |
|     | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |        |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 60.5%  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 61.5%  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 12.0yr |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   |        |
|     |   |        |
|     | C. Neighboring  |        |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   |        |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  | 59.7%  |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   | 94.9%  |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 37.6%  |
|     | 2   |        |
| ,   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   | 62 09  |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  |        |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood | 17 49  |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 37 19  |
| ٠.  | ose hearth/ medical services frequency in/ hear helyhborhood.   | 37.10  |
|     | E. Participation  |        |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 22.5%  |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization   |        |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such  |        |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood   | 28.7%  |
|     |   |        |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services   |        |
|     | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  | 10 10  |
|     | Vacant buildings 2.3% 1. Street repair  | 40.4%  |
|     | Deteriorated buildings 4.6% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 30.2% 3. Street cleaning                | 15 19  |
|     | Vandalism 21.0% 4. Street lighting  | 17 49  |
|     | Burglaries 18.9% 5. Police protection   |        |
|     | Muggings  |        |
|     | Rats 3.5% 7. Public transportation  |        |
| 8.  | Litter and garbage 14.6% 8. Traffic control (stop   | 20120  |
|     | Stray dogs 9.1% signs, stop lights)   | 21.3%  |
|     | Street maintenence 10.8% 9. Animal control  | 37.7%  |
|     |   |        |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  |        |
| 1.  | Problem:Crime Related % saying so   | 28.8%  |
|     |   |        |
|     | I. School   |        |
| Τ.  | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 87.8%  |
| SOU | DCP: The University Center for Serial and Urban Beauty  |        |
| 300 | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh                               |        |
|     | OHIVELSTEY OF FICESDUIGH  |        |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): LOWER HILL (Number of Respondents: 102)

|     | A. General Attitudes   |        |
|-----|--|--------|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live                                | 50.0%  |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years                            | 36.0%  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood                             |        |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   |        |
|     | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city                   | 14.8%  |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey                   |        |
| _   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions                                | 30.4%  |
|     | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years                         | 34.5%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | B. Neighborhood Attachment   | 22212  |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood                                    | 67.3%  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city                           | 76.6%  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood                             | 29.0yr |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood                                | 39.2%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | C. Neighboring   |        |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors                                  | 37.3%  |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks                       |        |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency                      |        |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood                                  | 56.9%  |
|     | B 6 W. J. b  |        |
| ,   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |        |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood                             |        |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood                  | 44.1%  |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood                  | 16.7%  |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.             | 62.7%  |
|     | P Participation  |        |
| 1.  | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization | 14 79  |
|     | Satisfied with neighborhood organization                                 | 14.75  |
| 2   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization                              | 30.05  |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such                           | 21 40  |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood  | 31.45  |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services                            |        |
|     | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)                                   |        |
| 1   | Vacant buildings 61.4% 1. Street repair                                  | 61.6%  |
|     | Deteriorated buildings. 58.8% 2. Trash/garbage collection                |        |
|     | Cost of housing 43.2% 3. Street cleaning                                 |        |
|     | Vandalism 32.3% 4. Street lighting                                       | 19.6%  |
|     |  |        |
|     |  | 26 69  |
|     |  | 20.05  |
|     |  | 43.48  |
|     | Litter and garbage 48.0% 8. Traffic control (stop                        |        |
|     | Stray dogs 56.4% signs, stop lights)                                     | 22.38  |
| Tu. | Street maintenence 25.7% 9. Animal control                               | 66.38  |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem                                     |        |
| 1   | Problem: Housing Related & saying so                                     | 40 00  |
|     | s saying so  | 40.95  |
|     | I. School  |        |
| 1.  | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood                               | 77 89  |
|     | Table and sollows and near neighborhood                                  | 77.00  |
| SOU | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research                 |        |
|     | University of Pittsburgh   |        |
|     |  |        |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): MIDDLE HILL (Number of Respondents: 98)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |  |
|---|--|--|
| 1.  |  | 37.8%  |
|   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 3/.05  |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 29.98  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 40.8%  |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 17.3%  |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 25.0%  |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   | 20.00  |
|   |  |  |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 26.8%  |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 23.0%  |
|   |  |  |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |  |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 63.3%  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 66 39  |
| 3.  | Modian number of years lived in neighborhood   | 25 0   |
|   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 25.0YE   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 52.68  |
|   |  |  |
|   | C. Neighboring   |  |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 37.8%  |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 15 00  |
| 3.  | End on call on neighbors for help in an annual tasks   | 20.95  |
|   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency1   | 80.00  |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 60.2%  |
|   |  |  |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |  |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 45.9%  |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 49.0%  |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  |  |
| 4.  | The recreation radiative frequency in hear neighborhood  | 9.2%   |
| 4 .   | HER DESITO/MEGICS! COFFFICE TEOMISHELF IN MASE BALABBARBANA  | 40 ng  |
|   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 47.05  |
|   |  | 47.06  |
|   | E. Participation   |  |
| 1.  | E. Participation   |  |
|   | E. Participation Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 20.4%  |
| 1.  | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%  |
|   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such  | 20.4%  |
| 1.  | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%  |
| 1.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%  |
| 1.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%  |
| 1.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%  |
| 1.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%  |
| 1.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%  |
| 1.<br>2.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%<br>71.7%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%<br>71.7%<br>27.4%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%<br>71.7%<br>27.4%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>71.7%<br>27.4%<br>43.8%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%<br>71.7%<br>27.4%<br>43.8%<br>37.8%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%<br>71.7%<br>27.4%<br>43.8%<br>37.8%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%<br>71.7%<br>27.4%<br>43.8%<br>37.8%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.4%<br>71.4%<br>35.7%<br>58.8%<br>67.0%<br>69.4%<br>21.4%<br>71.7%<br>27.4%<br>43.8%<br>37.8%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.48<br>71.48<br>35.78<br>58.88<br>67.08<br>69.48<br>21.48<br>71.78<br>27.48<br>43.88<br>37.88<br>70.18 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.48<br>71.48<br>35.78<br>58.88<br>67.08<br>69.48<br>21.48<br>71.78<br>27.48<br>43.88<br>37.88<br>70.18 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.48<br>71.48<br>35.78<br>58.88<br>67.08<br>69.48<br>21.48<br>71.78<br>27.48<br>43.88<br>37.88<br>70.18 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 20.48<br>71.48<br>35.78<br>58.88<br>67.08<br>69.48<br>21.48<br>71.78<br>27.48<br>43.88<br>37.88<br>70.18 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20.48<br>71.48<br>35.78<br>58.88<br>67.08<br>69.48<br>21.48<br>71.78<br>27.48<br>43.88<br>37.88<br>70.18 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10.             | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 54.1% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 55.1% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 35.8% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 26.5% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 32.0% 5. Police protection  Muggings 23.2% 6. Fire protection  Muggings 23.2% 6. Fire protection  Rats 46.9% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 57.1% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 57.1% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 28.6% 9. Animal control  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  Problem:Housing Related % saying so | 20.48<br>71.48<br>35.78<br>58.88<br>67.08<br>69.48<br>21.48<br>71.78<br>27.48<br>43.88<br>37.88<br>70.18 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10.             | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 20.48<br>71.48<br>35.78<br>58.88<br>67.08<br>69.48<br>21.48<br>71.78<br>27.48<br>43.88<br>37.88<br>70.18 |

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): UPPER HILL (Number of Respondents: 58)

|      | A. General Attitudes   |        |
|------|--|--------|
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 79.3%  |
| 2.   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 29.8%  |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 50.9%  |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years   | 13 89  |
|      | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city                                 | 33.3%  |
| 5.   | Residents opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey                                  |        |
|      | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 46.2%  |
|      | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years                                       | 14.9%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |        |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 77.2%  |
| 2.   | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 83.6%  |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 25.0vr |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 37.9%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | C. Neighboring   |        |
| 1.   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 58.6%  |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks                                     | 65.5%  |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency                                    | 96.6%  |
| 4.   | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 53.4%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |        |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 48.38  |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood                                | 44 89  |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood                                | 17 29  |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.                           | 55.2%  |
|      | near merghborhood.   | 33.25  |
|      | E. Participation   |        |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization                                       | 29 39  |
|      | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 74 29  |
| 2.   | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   | 14.45  |
|      | organization in/near neighborhood  | 37 99  |
|      |  | 31.33  |
|      | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |        |
|      | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |        |
| 1.   | Vacant buildings 17.2% 1. Street repair  | 58 69  |
| 2.   | Deteriorated buildings 22.4% 2. Trash/garbage collection                               |        |
| 3.   | Cost of housing 31.3% 3. Street cleaning   | 48 29  |
| 4.   | Vandalism 14.0% 4. Street lighting   | 10 09  |
| 5.   | Burglaries 14.0% 5. Police protection  | 63 00  |
| 6.   | Muggings 5.3% 6. Fire protection   | 20 00  |
| 7.   | Rats 10.5% 7. Public transportation  | 47 29  |
| 8.   | Litter and garbage 19.3% 8. Traffic control (stop                                      | 47.35  |
| 9.   | Litter and garbage 19.3% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 26.3% signs, stop lights) | 21 60  |
| 10.  | Street maintenence 28.6% 9. Animal control   | 16.40  |
|      | Derect maintenence 20.06 9. Animal Control   | 40.48  |
|      | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem   |        |
| 1.   | Problem: Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so                                     | 10 40  |
|      | 5 saying so  | 10.48  |
|      | I. School  |        |
| 1.   | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 02 20  |
|      | Datiblied with School in/ hear heighborhood  | 03.38  |
| SOUR | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research                               |        |
|      | University of Pittsburgh   |        |
|      | outsetstel of bicespuidu   |        |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): HOMEWOOD NORTH (Number of Respondents: 132)

| 1.  | A. General Attitudes Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 45.0%   |
|---|---|---|
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   |   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  |   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years  |   |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city  | 40.7%   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions   | 12.7%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 22.0%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | B. <u>Neighborhood Attachment</u>   |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   |   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 58.7%   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 20.0yr  |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 53.0%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | C. Neighboring  |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   | 43.2%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  | 50.4%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   | 96.0%   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 51.5%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 48.5%   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   | 29.5%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood   | 17.4%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 25.0%   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   | E. Participation  |   |
| 1.  | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%   |
|   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%   |
| 1.  | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such                               | 25.0%<br>73.0%  |
|   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%  |
|   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood | 25.0%<br>73.0%  |
|   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%  |
| 2.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%   |
| 2.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%   |
| 1. 2.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%<br>29.4%<br>62.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%<br>29.4%<br>62.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%<br>29.4%<br>62.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%<br>29.4%<br>62.0%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%<br>29.4%<br>62.0%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 25.0%<br>73.0%<br>34.8%<br>60.2%<br>36.9%<br>62.4%<br>29.2%<br>76.7%<br>40.3%<br>43.5%<br>29.4%<br>62.0%          |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): HOMEWOOD SOUTH (Number of Respondents: 96)

|      | A. General Attitudes   |       |
|------|--|-------|
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live                      | 25 89 |
|      | Neighborhood has improved over past two years                  |       |
| 2.   |  |       |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood                   | 40.0% |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years                                 | 31.3% |
|      | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city         | 23.1% |
| 5.   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey         |       |
|      | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions                      | 10.1% |
|      | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years               |       |
|      | 2. Helymortheed had imployed over past two jettovitimines      |       |
|      | B. Neighborhood Attachment                                     |       |
| ,    | a. Neighborhood Accaemient                                     | FF 20 |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood                          | 33.25 |
| 2.   | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city                 |       |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood                   |       |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood                      | 47.9% |
|      |  |       |
|      | C. Neighboring   |       |
| 1.   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors                        | 39.6% |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks             | 45.8% |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency            | 96 88 |
|      | Have best friend living in neighborhood                        | 50.06 |
| 4.   | have best friend fiving in heighborhood                        | 54.15 |
|      |  |       |
|      | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities                              |       |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood                   | 41.7% |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood        | 30.2% |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood        | 19.8% |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   |       |
|      | ose nearth/ meartar services rrequencry in/ near nerghborhood. | 32.35 |
|      | E. Participation   |       |
| -    |  | 20 00 |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization               |       |
| _    | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization                    | 60.48 |
| 2.   | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such                 |       |
|      | organization in/near neighborhood                              | 31.3% |
|      |  |       |
|      | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services                  |       |
|      | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)                         |       |
| 1.   | Vacant buildings 51.6% 1. Street repair                        | 64.98 |
|      | Deteriorated buildings. 56.4% 2. Trash/garbage collection      | 42 19 |
|      | Cost of housing 37.8% 3. Street cleaning                       | 70 29 |
|      |  | 70.25 |
|      | Vandalism 41.5% 4. Street lighting                             |       |
|      | Burglaries 42.2% 5. Police protection                          |       |
| 6.   | Muggings 23.6% 6. Fire protection                              |       |
| 7.   | Rats 37.6% 7. Public transportation                            | 38.5% |
| 8.   | Litter and garbage 52.1% 8. Traffic control (stop              |       |
| 9    | Stray dogs 47.4% signs, stop lights)                           | 39.89 |
| 10   | Street maintenence 38.5% 9. Animal control                     |       |
| 10.  | Street maintenence 30.36 9. Animal Control                     | 00.06 |
|      | Wash Carleys Waighbarhard Bushlan                              |       |
|      | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem                           |       |
| 1.   | Problem: Housing Related % saying so                           | 19.28 |
|      |  |       |
|      | I. <u>School</u>   |       |
| 1.   | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood                     | 72.7% |
|      |  |       |
| SOUR | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research       |       |
|      | University of Pittsburgh                                       |       |
|      |  |       |

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): HOMEWOOD WEST (Number of Respondents: 30)

|   | A. General Attitudes   | 12 20   |
|---|--|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 43.35   |
| 2.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 41 49   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 30.0%   |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city                                     |   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey                                     |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 10.4%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 8.3%  |
|   |  |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  |   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   |   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   |   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 40.08   |
|   | C. Neighboring   |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 40.0%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   |   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  |   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Pacilities  |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 60.0%   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood                                    | 23.3%   |
| . 3 .   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood                                    |   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.                               | 36.7%   |
|   | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 43 39   |
|   | Schiolist with printhenhand organization   |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 66.78   |
| 2.  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such | 66.7%   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   | 66.7%   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%   |
|   | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 40.0%   |
| 1.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%  |
| 1.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%  |
| 1. 2. 3.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%<br>39.3%<br>63.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%<br>39.3%<br>63.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%<br>39.3%<br>63.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%<br>39.3%<br>63.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%<br>39.3%<br>63.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%<br>39.3%<br>63.0% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood           | 66.7%<br>40.0%<br>75.9%<br>36.7%<br>70.0%<br>16.7%<br>59.1%<br>23.8%<br>14.3%<br>39.3%<br>63.0% |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): KNOXVILLE (Number of Respondents: 62)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 61.3%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 9.8%  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 67.2%   |
| 4.  |  | 22.6%   |
| 4.  |  | 72.7%   |
| _   |  | 14.16   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 30.5%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 9.5%  |
|   |  |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 51.7%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 50.08   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 18 5  |
|   |  |   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 48.4%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | C. Neighboring   |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 48.4%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 68.9%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 98.3%   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 50.0%   |
| 4.  | have best filend fiving in herghoothood  | 50.00   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |   |
| ,   |  | 67 20   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   |   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 62.9%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 12.9%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 40.3%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 9.7%  |
|   |  |   |
| _   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 93.35   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |   |
|   | organization in/near neighborhood  | 37.3%   |
|   |  | 22.00   |
|   |  | 32.33   |
|   | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  | 32.30   |
|   | F. Neighborhood Conditions  (% a major problem)  G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)   | 32.30   |
| 1.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |   |
|   | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 12.9% 1. Street repair   | 63.3%   |
| 2.  | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 12.9% 1. Street repair Deteriorated buildings 12.9% 2. Trash/garbage collection  | 63.3%   |
| 2.  | (% only fair/poor) Vacant buildings 12.9% 1. Street repair Deteriorated buildings 12.9% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 25.5% 3. Street cleaning   | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 12.9% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 12.9% 2. Trash/garbage collection  Cost of housing 25.5% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 16.4% 4. Street lighting  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 12.9%  Deteriorated buildings 25.5%  Cost of housing 25.5%  Vandalism 16.4%  Burglaries 8.3%  (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair  2. Trash/garbage collection  3. Street cleaning  4. Street lighting  5. Police protection  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%                                     |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 12.9% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 12.9% 2. Trash/garbage collection  Cost of housing 25.5% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 16.4% 4. Street lighting  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%                                     |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%                            |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%                   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%<br>28.8%<br>50.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% a major problem)(% only fair/poor)Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%<br>28.8%<br>50.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%<br>28.8%<br>50.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Vacant buildings   | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%<br>28.8%<br>50.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% a major problem)       (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings  | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%<br>28.8%<br>50.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | (% only fair/poor)         Vacant buildings       12.9%       1. Street repair         Deteriorated buildings       12.9%       2. Trash/garbage collection         Cost of housing       25.5%       3. Street cleaning         Vandalism       16.4%       4. Street lighting         Burglaries       8.3%       5. Police protection         Muggings       11.3%       6. Fire protection         Rats       3.3%       7. Public transportation         Litter and garbage       17.7%       8. Traffic control (stop         Stray dogs       19.7%       signs, stop lights)         Street maintenence       21.3%       9. Animal control         H.       Most Serious Neighborhood Problem         Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence       % saying so         I.       School         Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood       neighborhood | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%<br>28.8%<br>50.8% |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Vacant buildings   | 63.3%<br>30.6%<br>50.8%<br>21.0%<br>57.4%<br>25.9%<br>26.7%<br>28.8%<br>50.8% |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): LARIMER (Number of Respondents: 84)

|  | A. General Attitudes   |  |
|--|--|--|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live Neighborhood has improved over past two years Satisfied with city services in neighborhood Plan to move in next two years a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 56.0%<br>26.2%<br>52.9%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 53.1%<br>15.0v                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 42.9%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Pacilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 44.0%  |
| 1.                                     | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood   |  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 53.6%<br>16.7%<br>68.9%<br>32.5%<br>51.2%<br>29.8% |
| 1.                                     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so   | 24.0%  |
|  | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 78.3%  |
| SOUR                                   | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   |  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): LAWRENCEVILLE (Number of Respondents: 103)

|   | A. General Attitudes  |  |
|---|---|--|
| 7   | Waighborhood good/overll  |  |
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live   | 83.3%  |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 32.0%  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  | 78.6%  |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years  | 20.4%  |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city  | 50.0%  |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  | 30.05  |
| ٥.  | Residents Opinion from 1975 Reignborhood Atlas Survey   | -2-2 -22   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions   | 50.8%  |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 15.2%  |
|   |   |  |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |  |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the maighborhaid   | 77 00  |
|   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 11.28  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 76.0%  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 30.0v  |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 67.69  |
|   |   | 07.00  |
|   | C. Walahamia  |  |
|   | C. Neighboring  |  |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   | 55.9%  |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  | 69.6%  |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   | 94 19  |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 55 00  |
|   | have best filend fiving in neighborhood   | 00.0%  |
|   |   |  |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |  |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 83.5%  |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   | 73 89  |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood   | 73.06  |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 21.28  |
| 4 .   | USE Dealth/medical services tremiently in/near neighborhood   | 74 09  |
|   | real merginormood.  | 14.05  |
|   |   | /4.05  |
|   | E. Participation  |  |
| 1.  | E. Participation  |  |
| 1.  | E. Participation Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 11.7%  |
|   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 11.7%  |
| 1.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   | 11.7%  |
|   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   | 11.7%  |
|   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 11.7%  |
|   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.7%  |
|   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.7%  |
| 2.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.7%<br>86.2%<br>35.9%  |
| 2.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.7%<br>86.2%<br>35.9%  |
| 1. 2.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.7%<br>86.2%<br>35.9%  |
| 1. 2.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.7%<br>86.2%<br>35.9%<br>43.1%<br>42.7%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.7%<br>86.2%<br>35.9%<br>43.1%<br>42.7%<br>62.4%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08<br>24.88<br>35.08 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08<br>24.88<br>35.08 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08<br>24.88<br>35.08 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08<br>24.88<br>35.08 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08<br>24.88<br>35.08 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08<br>24.88<br>35.08 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 15.5% 1. Street repair.  Deteriorated buildings 14.6% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 36.3% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 13.9% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 12.7% 5. Police protection  Muggings 8.0% 6. Fire protection  Muggings 8.0% 6. Fire protection  Rats 7.1% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 23.3% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 12.6% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 18.4% 9. Animal control  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  Problem:Traffic, Parking % saying so  I. School neighborhood  Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08<br>24.88<br>35.08 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.78<br>86.28<br>35.98<br>43.18<br>42.78<br>62.48<br>22.38<br>42.78<br>11.28<br>24.08<br>24.88<br>35.08 |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): LOWER LAWRENCEVILLE (Number of Respondents: 47)

|     | A. General Attitudes   |         |
|-----|--|---------|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live                    | 61.7%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years                | 40.0%   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood                 | 77.8%   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years                               | 10.6%   |
|     | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city       | 60.0%   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey       |         |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions                    |         |
|     | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years             | 5.5%    |
|     |  |         |
|     | B. Neighborhood Attachment                                   |         |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood                        | 63.0%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city               | 70.5%   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood                 | 30.0yr. |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood                    | 57.4%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | C. Neighboring   |         |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors                      | 59.6%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks           |         |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency          |         |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood                      | 57.4%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities                            |         |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood                 | 87.2%   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood      | 68.1%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood      |         |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 80.9%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | E. Participation   |         |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization             | 12.8%   |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization                  | 66.7%   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such               |         |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood                            | 44.7%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services                |         |
|     | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)                       |         |
|     | Vacant buildings 19.1% 1. Street repair                      |         |
|     | Deteriorated buildings 29.8% 2. Trash/garbage collection     |         |
|     | Cost of housing 22.5% 3. Street cleaning                     |         |
|     | Vandalism 19.1% 4. Street lighting                           |         |
|     | Burglaries 21.7% 5. Police protection                        |         |
| 6.  | Muggings 8.7% 6. Fire protection                             |         |
|     | Rats 14.9% 7. Public transportation                          | 15.28   |
|     | Litter and garbage 23.9% 8. Traffic control (stop            |         |
|     | Stray dogs 19.1% signs, stop lights)                         | 27.78   |
| 10. | Street maintenence 29.8% 9. Animal control                   | 42.6%   |
|     |  |         |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem                         |         |
| 1.  | Problem: Housing Related % saying so                         | 18.6%   |
|     | 7 (2-1)  |         |
| ,   | I. School  | 06 70   |
| 1.  | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood                   | 86.78   |
|     | on my materials of the second                                |         |
| 500 | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research     |         |
|     | University of Pittsburgh                                     |         |
|     |  |         |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): UPPER LAWRENCEVILLE (Number of Respondents: 54)

|      | A. General Attitudes  |        |
|------|---|--------|
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live   | 81.1%  |
| 2.   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 28.3%  |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  | 67.9%  |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years  | 7.48   |
| **   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city  | 75.0%  |
| 5.   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |        |
| ٥.   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions   | 42.79  |
|      | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 12 85  |
|      | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 14.05  |
|      | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |        |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 81.19  |
|      | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 70 69  |
| 2.   | reel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 20 0   |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 50.091 |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | PT.T2  |
|      | C. Neighboring  |        |
| 1    | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   | 38 99  |
| 1.   | visit often of sometimes with neighbors   | 66 79  |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  | 00.75  |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   |        |
| 4.   | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 57.4%  |
|      | B. War of Walabackard Bardillalan   |        |
| _    | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |        |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 63.08  |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   | 74.18  |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood   | 18.5%  |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 59.3%  |
|      |   |        |
|      | E. Participation  |        |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 13.0%  |
|      | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization   | 64.7%  |
| 2.   | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such  |        |
|      | organization in/near neighborhood   | 40.7%  |
|      |   |        |
|      | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services   |        |
|      | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  |        |
| 1.   | Vacant buildings 16.7% 1. Street repair   | 42.6%  |
|      | Deteriorated buildings 15.1% 2. Trash/garbage collection  | 50.0%  |
|      | Cost of housing 20.5% 3. Street cleaning  |        |
|      | Vandalism 25.9% 4. Street lighting  | 13 29  |
|      | Vandalism   | 12 59  |
| 5.   | Burglaries 15.1% 5. Police protection   | 43.56  |
| 6.   | Muggings 3.8% 6. Fire protection  |        |
| 7.   | Rats 5.7% 7. Public transportation  | 23.1%  |
| 8.   | Litter and garbage 24.1% 8. Traffic control (stop   |        |
| 9.   | Stray dogs 22.2% signs, stop lights)  | 25.0%  |
| 10.  | Street maintenence 22.6% 9. Animal control  | 50.0%  |
|      |   |        |
|      | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  |        |
| 1.   | Problem: Youth Related % saying so  | 17.8%  |
|      |   |        |
|      | I. <u>School</u>  |        |
| 1.   | # 11 #1 # 111 . 1 . 1 1 - / 1 - LLL 1   |        |
| 8193 | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 92.3%  |
|      |   | 92.3%  |
|      | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh | 92.3%  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): LINCOLN-LEMINGTON-BELMAR (Number of Respondents: 77)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | A. General Attitudes Neighborhood good/excellent place to live Neighborhood has improved over past two years Satisfied with city services in neighborhood Plan to move in next two years a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 26.3%<br>65.8%<br>14.3%<br>25.0%                   |
|--|---|--|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 64.5%<br>16.0vr                                    |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 48.1%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 37.7%  |
| 1.                                     | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood   | 77.3%  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 18.4% Deteriorated buildings 22.1% Cost of housing 22.2%  Vandalism 16.9% Burglaries 21.1% Burglaries  | 31.2%<br>61.0%<br>23.4%<br>71.4%<br>21.4%<br>44.2% |
| 1.                                     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 17.6%  |
| 1.                                     | I. <u>School</u> Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 81.0%  |
| SOUF                                   | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research<br>University of Pittsburgh  |  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): MANCHESTER (Number of Respondents: 66)

| A. General Attitudes  1. Neighborhood good/excellent place to live   | E2 45    |
|--|----------|
| 2. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 60 69    |
| 3. Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  | 54 19    |
| 4. Plan to move in next two years  | 18.29    |
| a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city.  | 25.0%    |
| 5. Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |          |
| a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 24.1%    |
| b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 35.6%    |
|  |          |
| B. Neighborhood Attachment   |          |
| <ol> <li>Strongly attached to the neighborhood</li></ol>   | 66.2%    |
| <ol><li>Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city</li></ol>   | 69.8%    |
| <ol> <li>Median number of years lived in neighborhood</li> </ol>   | 21.0vr.  |
| 4. Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 51.5%    |
|  |          |
| C. Neighboring   |          |
| <ol> <li>Visit often or sometimes with neighbors</li></ol>   | 58.5%    |
| <ol> <li>Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks</li> </ol>   | 57.6%    |
| <ol> <li>Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency</li> </ol>  | 93.8%    |
| <ol> <li>Have best friend living in neighborhood</li></ol>   | 59.1%    |
|  |          |
| D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood.  |          |
|  | 34.8%    |
|  | 51.5%    |
| <ol> <li>Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood</li> <li>Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood</li> </ol> | 19./8    |
| 4. Ose hearth/ medical services frequencity in/hear heighborhood   | Q. 43.98 |
| E. Participation   |          |
| 1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 30.38    |
| a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 75.8%    |
| 2. Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such  |          |
| organization in/near neighborhood  | 39.4%    |
|  |          |
| F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  | 1        |
| (% a major problem) (% only fair/poo   |          |
| 1. Vacant buildings 61.5% 1. Street repair   | 76.6%    |
| <ol> <li>Deteriorated buildings. 60.3%</li> <li>Trash/garbage collection</li> </ol>  | on 42.2% |
| 3. Cost of housing 31.3% 3. Street cleaning  | 65.0%    |
| 4. Vandalism 34.9% 4. Street lighting  | 31.7%    |
| 5. Burglaries 34.9% 5. Police protection   | 66.7%    |
| 6. Muggings 21.7% 6. Fire protection   | 24.6%    |
| 7. Rats 29.2% 7. Public transportation.  | 26.7%    |
| 8. Litter and garbage 41.5% 8. Traffic control (stop   |          |
| 9. Stray dogs  |          |
| 10. Street maintenence 36.5% 9. Animal control   | 53.2%    |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem   |          |
|  | 20.00    |
| 1. Problem: Housing Related % saying so  | 20.05    |
| I. School  |          |
| 1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 85 .09   |
| zonzez zn, neuz nezgnotnood  | 05.00    |
| SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research  |          |
| University of Pittsburgh   |          |
|  |          |

### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): MORNINGSIDE (Number of Respondents: 43)

|         | A. General Attitudes   |        |
|---------|--|--------|
| 1.      | Noighborhood good/swell-   |        |
|         | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 88.48  |
| 2.      | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 23_3%  |
| 3.      | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 74.4%  |
| 4.      | Plan to move in next two years   | 11 69  |
|         | Of motorial management and a second s |        |
| _       | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | \$0.0% |
| 5.      | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |        |
|         |  | 62.3%  |
|         | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 14.00  |
|         | b. Weighborhood has improved over past two years   | 14.08  |
|         |  |        |
|         | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |        |
| 1.      | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 62.8%  |
| 2.      | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 55 89  |
| 3.      | Median number of more lived in middle middle   | 33.00  |
|         | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 20.0yr |
| 4.      | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 37.2%  |
|         |  |        |
|         | C. Neighboring   |        |
| 1.      | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 60 59  |
|         | Table (as an a sometimes which he spirit as a second secon | 00.54  |
| 2.      | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 60.5%  |
| 3.      | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 95.3%  |
| 4.      | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 58 19  |
|         | The second secon | 20.10  |
|         | B  |        |
| _       | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |        |
| 1.      | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 65.1%  |
| 2.      | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 72.19  |
| 3.      | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 18.6%  |
|         | the recipitation in the results of t | 10.05  |
| 4.      | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 37.2%  |
|         |  |        |
|         | E. Participation   |        |
| 1.      | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 20 99  |
|         | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 70.70  |
| •       | a. Sacisfied with heighborhood organization  | 13.18  |
| 2.      | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |        |
|         | organization in/near neighborhood  | 37.2%  |
|         |  |        |
|         | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |        |
|         |  |        |
|         |  |        |
| Ι.      | Vacant buildings 2.3% 1. Street repair   |        |
| 2.      | Deteriorated buildings 2.3% 2. Trash/garbage collection  | 44.2%  |
| 3.      | Cost of housing 31.6% 3. Street cleaning   |        |
|         |  |        |
|         | Vandalism 11.6% 4. Street lighting   |        |
| ٥.      | Burglaries 9.5% 5. Police protection   | 50.0%  |
| 6.      | Muggings 0.0% 6. Fire protection   | 18.9%  |
| 7.      | Rats 4.8% 7. Public transportation   | 55 00  |
| 0       |  | 33.05  |
| ٥.      | Litter and garbage 2.3% 8. Traffic control (stop   |        |
| 9.      | Stray dogs 9.3% signs, stop lights)  | 16.3%  |
| 10.     | Street maintenence 9.3% 9. Animal control  | 40.0%  |
| (F) (F) | The same and the s | 10.00  |
|         | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem   |        |
| 1       | Broblem Poorle Political Reignborhood Froblem  |        |
| ⊥.      | Problem: People Related % saying so  | 14.3%  |
|         |  |        |
|         | I. School  |        |
| 1.      | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 87.5%  |
|         |  |        |
| SOUR    | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   |        |
|         | University of Pittsburgh   |        |
|         | UNIVERSITY OF PIFFSDURGD   |        |

01,

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): MOUNT WASHINGTON-DUQUESNE HEIGHTS (Number of Respondents: 181)

|  | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|--|--|---|
|  | A. General Attitudes   |   |
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 87.3%   |
| 2.   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 40 28   |
|  | delibered and improved over past two years   | 40.26   |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 70.2%   |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years   | 15.5%   |
|  | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 22 28   |
| -  | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 33.38   |
| 5.   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
|  | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 42.18   |
|  | h Weighborhood has immended and the second   | 15 50   |
|  | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 12.28   |
|  |  |   |
|  | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| -  |  |   |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 72.9%   |
| 2.   | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 74.68   |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 20 0  |
|  | Median number of years fived in neighborhood   | 20.0y1  |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 59.7%   |
|  |  |   |
|  | C Waighbaring  |   |
|  | C. Neighboring   |   |
| 1.   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 59.7%   |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 74 69   |
|  | Bell or all a sight of the tell in the case of the cas | 74.00   |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 97.28   |
| 4.   | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 60.8%   |
|  | •  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |   |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 73.5%   |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 66 28   |
|  | Accend Church/Synagogue frequenciy in/near neighborhood  |   |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 17.1%   |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 45 39   |
| ~ .  |  |   |
| 4.   | ose hearth/medical services frequency in/hear heighborhood.  | 43.36   |
| 7.   |  | 43.36   |
|  | E. Participation   |   |
|  | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.   | E. Participation Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 13.3%   |
| 1.   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%   |
|  | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%   |
| 1.   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such  | 13.3%   |
| 1.   | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%   |
| 1.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%   |
| 1.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%   |
| 1.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%   |
| 1.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%   |
| 1.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%   |
| 1.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%   |
| 1. 2.  | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.                                     | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                               | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                               | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                         | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.             | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.             | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.       | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>14.5%<br>35.0%<br>53.4% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>14.5%<br>35.0%<br>53.4% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>14.5%<br>35.0%<br>53.4% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>14.5%<br>35.0%<br>53.4% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.             | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.             | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.             | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10.      | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10.      | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 13.3%<br>76.8%<br>32.6%<br>61.7%<br>34.4%<br>65.0%<br>19.3%<br>44.8%<br>18.1%<br>44.5%          |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): NORTH SHORE (Number of Respondents: 14)

|     | A. General Attitudes   |        |
|-----|--|--------|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 42.9%  |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 14.3%  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 69.2%  |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 21.4%  |
| 5.  | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 0.0%   |
| ٥.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions | 40 00  |
|     | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 40.08  |
|     | be neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 00.05  |
|     | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |        |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 57.1%  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 64.3%  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 23.5vr |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 42.9%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | C. <u>Neighboring</u>  |        |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 42.9%  |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 50.0%  |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 92.9%  |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 42.9%  |
|     | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |        |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 77 49  |
| 2.  |  | 57.1%  |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 7.19   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.                                     | 50.0%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | E. <u>Participation</u>  |        |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 0.0%   |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 0.0%   |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |        |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood  | 7.1%   |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |        |
|     | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |        |
| 1.  | Vacant buildings 21.4% 1. Street repair  | 50.0%  |
| 2.  | Deteriorated buildings 28.6% 2. Trash/garbage collection   |        |
| 3.  | Cost of housing 10.0% 3. Street cleaning   | 42.9%  |
|     | Vandalism 8.3% 4. Street lighting  | 14.3%  |
| 5.  | Burglaries 15.4% 5. Police protection  | 36.4%  |
| 6.  | Muggings 7.7% 6. Fire protection   | 0.0%   |
| 7.  | Rats 21.4% 7. Public transportation  | 7.1%   |
| 8.  | Litter and garbage 28.6% 8. Traffic control (stop  |        |
|     | Stray dogs 7.1% signs, stop lights)  | 28.6%  |
| TU. | Street maintenence 14.3% 9. Animal control   | 36.4%  |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem   |        |
| 1   | Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 19 24  |
|     | s saying so  | 10.25  |
|     | I. School  |        |
| 1.  | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 80.00  |
|     |  |        |
| SOU | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   |        |
|     | University of Pittsburgh   |        |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): NORTH VIEW HEIGHTS (Number of Respondents: 36)

|                       | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1.                    | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 44.48                                     |
| 2.                    | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 25.7%                                     |
| 3.                    | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 67.69                                     |
| 4.                    | Plan to move in next two years   | 22 28                                     |
| •••                   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 42.26                                     |
| 5.                    | Desidents' entries from 1975 West Moving out of the City   | 42.98                                     |
| ٥.                    | Residents opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  |   |
|                       | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 12.5%                                     |
|                       | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 20.0%                                     |
|                       |  |   |
|                       | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1.                    | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 55.6%                                     |
| 2.                    | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 58.8%                                     |
| 3.                    | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 15 0                                      |
| 4.                    | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 15 79                                     |
| -                     | The result of the second of th | 43.75                                     |
|                       | C Noighborin   |   |
| 1.                    | C. Neighboring   |   |
|                       | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 47.2%                                     |
| 2.                    | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 66.7%                                     |
| 3.                    | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 88.9%                                     |
| 4.                    | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 58.3%                                     |
|                       |  |   |
|                       | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |   |
| 1.                    | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 22 28                                     |
| 2.                    | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 20 69                                     |
| 3.                    | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  |   |
| 4.                    | The health (and include the hear neighborhood  | 13.9%                                     |
| 4.                    | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 66.7%                                     |
|                       |  |   |
| 4                     | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 16.7%                                     |
|                       | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 84.6%                                     |
| 2.                    | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |   |
|                       | organization in/near neighborhood  | 16.7%                                     |
|                       |  |   |
|                       | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |   |
|                       | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |   |
| 1.                    | Vacant buildings 32.4% 1. Street repair  | 15 79                                     |
| 2                     |  |   |
| 3                     |  | 41.78                                     |
| 4                     |  | 5/.18                                     |
| 4.                    | Vandalism 40.0% 4. Street lighting   | 36.1%                                     |
| 5.                    |  |   |
| 6.                    | Burglaries 41.2% 5. Police protection  | 58.8%                                     |
|                       | Muggings 8.8% 6. Fire protection   | 58.8%                                     |
| 7.                    | Muggings 8.8% 6. Fire protection   | 58.8%                                     |
| 7.                    | Muggings 8.8% 6. Fire protection   | 58.8%                                     |
| 7.                    | Rats   | 58.8%<br>26.5%<br>36.1%                   |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Muggings   | 58.8%<br>26.5%<br>36.1%                   |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Rats   | 58.8%<br>26.5%<br>36.1%                   |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Muggings   | 58.8%<br>26.5%<br>36.1%                   |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Muggings   | 58.8%<br>26.5%<br>36.1%<br>28.6%<br>65.7% |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Muggings   | 58.8%<br>26.5%<br>36.1%<br>28.6%<br>65.7% |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Muggings   | 58.8%<br>26.5%<br>36.1%<br>28.6%<br>65.7% |
| 7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Muggings   | 58.8%<br>26.5%<br>36.1%<br>28.6%<br>65.7% |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): LOWER OAKLAND (Number of Respondents: 80)

| A. General Attitudes  1. Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 18.28<br>65.08<br>53.88<br>65.88                  |
|---|---|
| B. Neighborhood Attachment  1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 4.0vr   |
| C. Neighboring  1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 60.0%<br>92.2%                                    |
| D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> 1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 41.3%   |
| E. Participation  1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 59.0%   |
| F. Neighborhood Conditions  (% a major problem)  1. Vacant buildings 6.3% 2. Deteriorated buildings 74.0% 3. Cost of housing 74.0% 4. Vandalism | 51.3%<br>75.6%<br>23.8%<br>42.0%<br>8.0%<br>23.1% |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  1. Problem:Litter, Garbage % saying so 2  | 28.2%   |
| I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 71.4%   |
| SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research<br>University of Pittsburgh   |   |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): NORTH OAKLAND (Number of Respondents: 124)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 74.2%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 25.6%   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 73.3%   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   |   |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 65 79   |
| -   | a. Or potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 03.75   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 44.2%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 19.9%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 53.2%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   |   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 4 017   |
|   | Henry relations living in the neighborhood   | 10 50   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 19.58   |
|   |  |   |
|   | C. <u>Neighboring</u>  |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 54.8%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 46.3%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 96.6%   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 50.0%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 72 69   |
|   | Attend the bold for a constant in the constant | 14 49   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 44.45   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 33.18   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 75.8%   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.  | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 21.0%   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%  |
| 1. 2.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 3.3% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 13.0% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 55.5% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 19.7% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 17.9% 5. Police protection  Muggings 33.6% 6. Fire protection  Muggings 33.6% 6. Fire protection  Litter and garbage 26.6% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 2.4% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 22.0% 9. Animal control  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%<br>27.9%<br>23.3% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%<br>27.9%<br>23.3% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 3.3% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 13.0% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 55.5% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 19.7% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 17.9% 5. Police protection  Muggings 33.6% 6. Fire protection  Muggings 33.6% 6. Fire protection  Litter and garbage 26.6% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 2.4% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 22.0% 9. Animal control  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%<br>27.9%<br>23.3% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 3.3% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 13.0% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 55.5% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 19.7% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 17.9% 5. Police protection  Muggings 33.6% 6. Fire protection  Muggings 33.6% 6. Fire protection  Litter and garbage 26.6% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 2.4% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 22.0% 9. Animal control  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%<br>27.9%<br>23.3% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%<br>27.9%<br>23.3% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 3.3% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 13.0% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 55.5% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism 19.7% 4. Street lighting  Burglaries 17.9% 5. Police protection  Muggings 33.6% 6. Fire protection  Rats 6.7% 7. Public transportation  Rats 6.7% 7. Public transportation  Litter and garbage 26.6% 8. Traffic control (stop Stray dogs 2.4% signs, stop lights)  Street maintenence 22.0% 9. Animal control  H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  Problem:Crime Related % saying so   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%<br>27.9%<br>23.3% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 70.6%<br>31.5%<br>53.3%<br>34.5%<br>60.8%<br>37.5%<br>39.4%<br>14.4%<br>17.4%<br>27.9%<br>23.3% |

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): SOUTH OAKLAND (Number of Respondents: 52)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | A. General Attitudes  Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  Neighborhood has improved over past two years  Satisfied with city services in neighborhood  Plan to move in next two years  a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city  Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey  a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  B. Neighborhood Attachment | 21.28<br>45.58<br>42.48                   |
|--|---|---|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 79.6%                                     |
| 1. ·<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                       | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 64.7%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 63.5%<br>50.0%<br>25.0%<br>69.2%          |
| 1.   | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood   | 95.2%                                     |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | F. Neighborhood Conditions  (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 66.0%<br>23.1%<br>53.3%<br>14.0%<br>19.2% |
| 1.   | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Litter, Garbage % saying so  | 17.4%                                     |
|  | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 83.3%                                     |
| 500  | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research  |   |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): PERRY NORTH (Number of Respondents: 102)

|      | A. General Attitudes   |        |
|------|--|--------|
| 1.   | Neighborhood good/www.ll   | 20 00  |
|      | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 70.6%  |
| 2.   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 20.2%  |
| 3.   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 57.4%  |
| 4.   | Plan to move in next two years   | 33.3%  |
|      | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 57.6%  |
| 5.   | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   | 37.00  |
| ٠.   | Contact of the contac |        |
|      | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 32.6%  |
|      | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 4.7%   |
|      |  |        |
|      | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |        |
| 1.   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 60 89  |
| 2.   | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 62.28  |
|      | Median to the first to helphothod than to city   | 03.35  |
| 3.   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 20.0yr |
| 4.   | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 45.1%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | C. Neighboring   |        |
| 1.   | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 46 19  |
| 2.   | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 61 00  |
|      | ment (of are neither by) heighbors with small tasks  | 01.05  |
| 3.   | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 95.0%  |
| 4.   | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 46.1%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |        |
| 1.   | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 50 09  |
| 2.   | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 50.00  |
|      | actend Charten, Synagogue Frequenciy in/hear heighborhood  | 52.98  |
| 3.   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 17.6%  |
| 4.   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 38.2%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | E. Participation   |        |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 6.9%   |
|      | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 00.50  |
| 2.   | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   | 00.05  |
| 4.   | belong to Church, Fla, Indeed and Other Such   |        |
|      | organization in/near neighborhood  | 37.3%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |        |
|      | (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)   |        |
| 1.   | Vacant buildings 5.9% 1. Street repair   | 65 7ª  |
|      |  |        |
|      |  |        |
| ٥.   | Cost of housing 22.0% 3. Street cleaning   | 65.7%  |
| 4.   | Vandalism 19.6% 4. Street lighting   | 28.4%  |
| 5.   | Burglaries 14.1% 5. Police protection  | 60.9%  |
|      | Muggings 6.2% 6. Fire protection   | 21 99  |
| 7    | Rats 6.2% 7. Public transportation   | 24 09  |
| 0    | Tibber and markets 20 40 7. Fublic transportation  | 34.08  |
| 0.   | Litter and garbage 32.4% 8. Traffic control (stop  |        |
| 9.   | Stray dogs 24.5% signs, stop lights)   | 32.0%  |
| 10.  | Street maintenence 37.6% 9. Animal control   | 44.4%  |
|      |  |        |
|      | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem   |        |
| 1.   | Problem:Litter, Garbage % saying so  | 16 79  |
|      | a saying so  | 10.75  |
|      | 7 0-11   |        |
|      | I. School  |        |
| ⊥.   | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 87.5%  |
|      |  |        |
| SOUR | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   |        |
|      |  |        |
|      | University of Pittsburgh   |        |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): PERRY SOUTH (Number of Respondents: 123)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 52.8%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 21 89   |
|   | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 61 58   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with City Services in heighborhood   | 23 68   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 39.1%   |
|   | C. OI POSCHIELE MOTORS, Personnia motors, and  | 39.16   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 19.6%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 9.0%  |
|   |  |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 48.8%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 53.0%   |
|   | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 20 000  |
| 3.  | median number of years lived in helphologous   | 27 49   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 3/.48   |
|   | O Walakhaniaa  |   |
|   | C. Neighboring   | 46.3%   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  |   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 48.8%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 88.9%   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 50.4%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 47.2%   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 41.5%   |
|   | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 8.1%  |
| 3.  | use recreation facility frequently in/hear heighborhood  |   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 34.35   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | E. Participation   | 10.60   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 10.6%   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 10.6%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions  G. Public Services                      | 77.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%   |
| 1.<br>2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%<br>41.8%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%<br>41.8%<br>59.3%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%<br>41.8%<br>59.3%<br>29.5%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%<br>41.8%<br>59.3%<br>29.5%<br>62.1%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%<br>41.8%<br>59.3%<br>29.5%<br>62.1%<br>17.0%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%<br>41.8%<br>59.3%<br>29.5%<br>62.1%<br>17.0%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%<br>41.8%<br>59.3%<br>29.5%<br>62.1%<br>17.0%<br>29.4% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5%<br>29.3%<br>51.2%<br>41.8%<br>59.3%<br>29.5%<br>62.1%<br>17.0%<br>29.4% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4% 52.9%             |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4% 52.9%             |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4% 52.9%             |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4% 52.9%             |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4% 52.9%             |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 77.5% 29.3% 51.2% 41.8% 59.3% 29.5% 62.1% 17.0% 29.4% 31.4% 52.9%             |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): POINT BREEZE (Number of Respondents: 42)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | A. General Attitudes Neighborhood good/excellent place to live Neighborhood has improved over past two years Satisfied with city services in neighborhood Plan to move in next two years a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 19.0%<br>85.7%<br>38.1%<br>50.0%                  |
|--|---|---|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 45.2%<br>6.0vr                                    |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 59.5%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 28.6%   |
| 1.                                     | E. <u>Participation</u> Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 76.2%   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings  | 23.8%<br>48.8%<br>19.0%<br>55.3%<br>7.7%<br>16.7% |
| 1.                                     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 36.8%   |
| 1.                                     | I. <u>School</u> Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood1  | 80.00   |
| SOUF                                   | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research  |   |

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): POLISH HILL (Number of Respondents: 36)

| 2. Ne<br>3. Sa<br>4. Pl<br>5. Re  | A. General Attitudes ighborhood good/excellent place to live ighborhood has improved over past two years tisfied with city services in neighborhood an to move in next two years a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city sidents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 27.8%<br>55.6%<br>27.8%<br>85.7%                   |
|---|---|--|
| 2. Fe   | B. Neighborhood Attachment rongly attached to the neighborhood el more loyalty to neighborhood than to city dian number of years lived in neighborhood ve relatives living in the neighborhood  | 62.9%<br>23.0yr.                                   |
| 2. He<br>3. Fe  | C. Neighboring sit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 69.4%  |
| <ol> <li>At</li> <li>Us</li> </ol>  | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> ocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood tend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood e recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood e health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 63.9%  |
| 2. Be   | E. Participation long to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization long to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood   | 93.3%  |
| 1. Va<br>2. De<br>3. Co<br>4. Va<br>5. Bu<br>6. Mu<br>7. Ra<br>8. Li<br>9. St | Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  cant buildings   | 63.9%<br>63.9%<br>27.8%<br>66.7%<br>29.4%<br>41.2% |
| 1. Pr   | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem oblem:Crime Related % saying so  | 18.8%  |
| l. Sa   | I. <u>School</u> tisfied with school in/near neighborhoodl  | .00.0%   |
| SOURCE  | : The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh  |  |

| E. Participation neighborhood organization  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| ellent place to live  |  | {  |
| the neighborhood  | ellent place to live   | franch channe  |
| neighbors with small tasks  | the neighborhood   | No.  |
| y in/near neighborhood  | nes with neighbors   |  |
| E. Participation neighborhood organization  | y in/near neighborhood   | -  |
| em) (% only fair/poor) 0.0% 1. Street repair  | neighborhood organization  |  |
| 15.4% 6. Fire protection 0.0% 6.7% 7. Public transportation 13.3% 0.0% 8. Traffic control (stop 6.7% signs, stop lights) 42.9% 21.4% 9. Animal control 20.0%  Prious Neighborhood Problem % saying so 33.3%  I. School in/near neighborhood | em) (% only fair/poor) 0.0% 1. Street repair 46.7% 0.0% 2. Trash/garbage collection 13.3% 33.3% 3. Street cleaning 71.4% 6.7% 4. Street lighting 26.7% | Salara And   |
| I. School in/near neighborhood  | 15.4% 6. Fire protection 0.0% 6.7% 7. Public transportation 13.3% 0.0% 8. Traffic control (stop signs, stop lights) 42.9%                              | The state of the s |
| er for Social and Urban Research  | % saying so 33.3% I. School  |  |
|   | er for Social and Urban Research   |  |

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): RIDGEMONT-CHICKEN HILL (Number of Respondents: 32)

| A. General Attitudes  1. Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  |
|---|
| B. Neighborhood Attachment  1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood  |
| C. Neighboring  1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  |
| D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood 62.5%  2. Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood 50.0%  3. Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood 34.4%  4. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. 34.4%                                   |
| E. Participation  1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |
| F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  1. Vacant buildings 0.0% 2. Deteriorated buildings 24.1% 3. Cost of housing 24.1% 4. Vandalism 6.5% 5. Burglaries 6.5% 6. Muggings 6.5% 7. Rats 9.7% 7. Rats 9.7% 8. Litter and garbage 3.2% 8. Litter and garbage 3.2% 9. Stray dogs 6.7% 9. Stray dogs 6.7% 9. Animal control 20.0% |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  1. Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so 25.0%  |
| I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  |

! SURVEY (1980): ST. CLAIR !r of Respondents: 59)

| General Attitudes Ellent place to live   | 39.0%<br>23.7%<br>44.8%<br>27.1%<br>35.7%<br>21.4%<br>9.5% |
|--|--|
| Neighborhood Attachment he neighborhood eighborhood than to city lived in neighborhood in the neighborhood   | 44.1%<br>39.2%<br>20.0yr<br>54.2%                          |
| C. Neighboring es with neighbors ) neighbors with small tasks bors for help in an emergency g in neighborhood  | 40.7%<br>55.9%<br>87.7%<br>50.8%                           |
| of Neighborhood Facilities y in/near neighborhood e frequently in/near neighborhood y frequently in/near neighborhood vices frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 47.5%<br>44.1%<br>11.9%<br>16.9%                           |
| E. Participation neighborhood organization ighborhood organization fraternal or other such neighborhood  | 10.2%<br>69.6%<br>27.1%                                    |
| tions         G. Public Services           em)         (% only fair/poor)           . 32.8%         1. Street repair           . 24.6%         2. Trash/garbage collection           . 30.2%         3. Street cleaning           . 41.8%         4. Street lighting           . 38.2%         5. Police protection           . 25.5%         6. Fire protection           . 32.8%         7. Public transportation           . 39.0%         8. Traffic control (stop signs, stop lights)           . 40.7%         signs, stop lights)           . 28.8%         9. Animal control | 25.9%<br>52.6%<br>53.7%                                    |
| erious Neighborhood Problem % saying so  I. School in/near neighborhood  ter for Social and Urban Research ersity of Pittsburgh  |  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): SHADELAND-HALLS GROVE (Number of Respondents: 78)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 67.5%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 34.7%   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 61.5%   |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 12.8%   |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 44.48   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  | 44.0%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 14.1%   |
|   |  |   |
| -   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1   | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 61.5%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   |   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   |   |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  |   |
|   | auto relatives living in the neighborhoodittititititititi  |   |
|   | C. Neighboring   |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 57.7%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   |   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  |   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 56.4%   |
| 7.  | have best fillend fiving in heighborhood   | 30.45   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Pacilities  |   |
| 1   |  | 67 00   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   |   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 70.5%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 12.8%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 48.7%   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | E. Participation   |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 2.6%  |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%  |
| 1.<br>2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%<br>23.7%<br>45.5% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings  | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%<br>23.7%<br>45.5% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 5.1% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 10.3% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 12.5% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%<br>23.7%<br>45.5% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%<br>23.7%<br>45.5% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings 5.1% 1. Street repair  Deteriorated buildings 10.3% 2. Trash/garbage collection Cost of housing 12.5% 3. Street cleaning  Vandalism | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%<br>23.7%<br>45.5% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 37.5%<br>46.2%<br>42.9%<br>33.3%<br>60.5%<br>21.8%<br>53.5%<br>17.6%<br>28.6%<br>23.7%<br>45.5% |

|                                    | ~ ~    |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| ved over past two years            | 31.6%  |
| rvices in neighborhood             | 72.9%  |
| wo years                           | 35.7%  |
| rs, percent moving out of the city | 45.3%  |
| m 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |        |
| ighborhood conditions              | 53 09  |
| improved over past two years       | 22.06  |
| improved over past two years       | 44.35  |
| Noighborhood lateraharat           |        |
| Neighborhood Attachment            |        |
| he neighborhood                    | 65.1%  |
| eighborhood than to city           | 56.3%  |
| lived in neighborhood              | 7.0vr. |
| in the neighborhood                | 23.2%  |
|                                    |        |
| C. Neighboring                     |        |
| es with neighbors                  | E 6 79 |

| C. Neighboring                |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| es with neighbors             | 56.7% |
| neighbors with small tasks    | 56.5% |
| pors for help in an emergency | 93.8% |
| ; in neighborhood             | 46.8% |
|                               |       |

| or Neighborhood Facilities             |       |
|--|-------|
| / in/near neighborhood                 | 79.6% |
| frequently in/near neighborhood        | 41.4% |
| frequently in/near neighborhood        | 15.3% |
| vices frequently in/near neighborhood. | 54.8% |
|  |       |

| . Partici  | pation       |      |           |
|------------|--------------|------|-----------|
| neighborho | od organiza  | tion | <br>16.9% |
| .ghborhood | organization | n    | <br>74.5% |
| fraternal  | or other suc | ch   |           |
| neighborho | odbo         |      | <br>28.0% |

| _   | ons   |    | G. Public Services       |       |
|-----|-------|----|--------------------------|-------|
| :m) | )     |    | (% only fair/poor)       |       |
|     | 3.2%  | 1. | Street repair            | 50.8% |
|     | 4.5%  | 2. | Trash/garbage collection | 39.2% |
|     | 58.7% | 3. | Street cleaning          | 52.6% |
|     | 14.3% | 4. | Street lighting          | 28.2% |
|     | 20.7% | 5. | Police protection        | 44.9% |
|     | 19.7% | 6. | Fire protection          | 12.9% |
|     | 1.7%  | 7. | Public transportation    | 22.7% |
|     | 17.6% | 8. | Traffic control (stop    |       |
|     | 9.7%  |    | signs, stop lights)      | 24.4% |
|     | 17.0% | 9. | Animal control           | 31.2% |
|     |       |    |                          |       |

| . 1/.0% 9. Animal control 31            | . 28 |
|---|------|
| rious Neighborhood Problem              |      |
| % saying so 28                          | .5%  |
| I. School                               |      |
| I. <u>School</u><br>n/near neighborhood | .1%  |

er for Social and Urban Research rsity of Pittsburgh

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): SHERIDAN-CHARTIERS (Number of Respondents: 86)

|   | A. General Attitudes  |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live   | 87.1%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 31 49   |
| 4.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood Plan to move in next two years   | 66.3%   |
|   | a. Or potential movers, percent moving out of the city  | 53.88   |
| 5.  | Residents opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions   | 38.4%   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 10.7%   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment  |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood   | 65.1%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city  | 70.49   |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood  | 20.0vr  |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 52.9%   |
|   | C. Neighboring  |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors   | 59.3%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  | 68.6%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency   | 91.9%   |
| 7.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 52.3%   |
|   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities   |   |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  | 73.3%   |
| 2.  | Attend Church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood   | 69.8%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood   | 11.6%   |
|   | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.  | 34.9%   |
|   |   |   |
|   | E. Participation  |   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.6%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 11.6%   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 65.4%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 65.4%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 65.4%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions  (% a major problem)  G. Public Services (% only fair/poor) | 65.4%<br>37.2%  |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 65.4%<br>37.2%  |
| 2.<br>1.<br>2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 65.4%<br>37.2%<br>55.8%<br>22.1%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                                      | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 65.4%<br>37.2%<br>55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings   | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%<br>24.1%<br>44.7% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem) (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings   | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%<br>24.1%<br>44.7% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings                       | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%<br>24.1%<br>44.7% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization.  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood.  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings                    | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%<br>24.1%<br>44.7% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization.  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings                       | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%<br>24.1%<br>44.7% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings                       | 55.8%<br>22.1%<br>59.5%<br>14.0%<br>47.9%<br>11.1%<br>29.3%<br>24.1%<br>44.7% |

| 1980): SOUTH SIDE FLATS<br>espondents: 100)   |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
| cal Attitudes place to live   |  |
| er past two years 38.1%   |  |
| in neighborhood 77.0%   |  |
| :S 13.0%  |  |
| cent moving out of the city 33.3%<br>Neighborhood Atlas Survey                      |  |
| nood conditions 36.6%   |  |
| d over past two years 16.2%   |  |
|   |  |
| rhood Attachment  |  |
| hborhood 77.0%  |  |
| hood than to city 75.8%   |  |
| in neighborhood 36.5yr.   |  |
| neighborhood54.5%   |  |
| ighboring   |  |
| neighbors   |  |
| bors with small tasks 51.5%   |  |
| r help in an emergency 90.7%  |  |
| ighborhood 57.0%  |  |
| bbb   |  |
| hborhood Facilities   |  |
| ar neighborhood 89.0% ently in/near neighborhood 63.0%                              |  |
|   |  |
| ently in/near neighborhood 31.0% requently in/near neighborhood. 69.0%              |  |
| requestly in/ near neighborhood. 69.0%  |  |
| ticipation  |  |
| orhood organization 13.0%   |  |
| ood organization 85.0%  |  |
| hal or other such   |  |
| orhood 36.0%  |  |
| C Bublic Services   |  |
| G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)   |  |
| 1. Street repair 57.1%  |  |
| 2. Trash/garbage collection 20.2%   |  |
| 3. Street cleaning 65.0%  |  |
| 4. Street lighting 14.0%  |  |
| 5. Police protection 25.6%  |  |
| 6. Fire protection 16.8%  |  |
| 7. Public transportation 16.5%  |  |
| 8. Traffic control (stop  |  |
| signs, stop lights) 18.4%   |  |
|   |  |
| 9. Animal control 29.8%   |  |
| 9. Animal control 29.8%   |  |
| 9. Animal control 29.8%  [eighborhood Problem]                                      |  |
| 9. Animal control 29.8%   |  |
| 9. Animal control 29.8%    eighborhood Problem   tenence % saying so 16.3%          |  |
| 9. Animal control 29.8%    eighborhood Problem   tenence % saying so 16.3%   School |  |
| 9. Animal control   |  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): SOUTH SIDE SLOPES (Number of Respondents: 78)

|   | A. General Attitudes   |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 78.2%   |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 24.7%   |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 61.5%   |
|   | Plan to move in next two years   | 16.7%   |
| 4.  | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 36.4%   |
|   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the City   | 30.45   |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |   |
|   | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions  |   |
|   | b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years   | 10.9%   |
|   |  |   |
|   | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |   |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 70.5%   |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 76.0%   |
|   | reel more loyalty to neighborhood than to circumstance   | 20 0  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 30.0y1  |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 00./5   |
|   |  |   |
|   | C. Neighboring   |   |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 52.6%   |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 56.4%   |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 94.8%   |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 51 39   |
| 4.  | have best fillend fiving in heighborhood   | 32.30   |
|   | D. Walabharhard Barilibian   |   |
| _   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  | 10  |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | 65.48   |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 76.9%   |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 19.2%   |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 42.3%   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | E Participation  |   |
| ,   | E. Participation   | 17 99   |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 17.9%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 17.9%<br>73.7%  |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%   |
|   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%   |
| 2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%  |
| 1.<br>2.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.                                | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%                                     |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.                          | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.                    | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%                            |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.              | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%                   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%<br>38.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%<br>38.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  F. Neighborhood Conditions (% only fair/poor)  Vacant buildings | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>68.8%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%<br>38.2% |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%<br>38.2%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%<br>38.2%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.        | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%<br>38.2%          |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9.<br>10. | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 73.7%<br>34.6%<br>34.6%<br>83.8%<br>21.8%<br>45.1%<br>14.3%<br>49.3%<br>26.4%<br>38.2%          |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): SPRING HILL (Number of Respondents: 105)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | A. General Attitudes Neighborhood good/excellent place to live Neighborhood has improved over past two years Satisfied with city services in neighborhood Plan to move in next two years a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years | 35.6%<br>71.2%<br>19.0%<br>41.2%                   |
|--|---|--|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 62.7%<br>23.0vr                                    |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 63.8%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 55.2%  |
| 1.                                     | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  | 85.7%  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings 14.3% Deteriorated buildings. 16.2% Cost of housing 13.2%  Vandalism 16.3% Burglaries 8.7% Muggings 9.7% Rats 10.7% Litter and garbage 10.7% Street maintenence 24.0%  Street maintenence 24.0%  G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)  1. Street repair   | 58.1%<br>70.7%<br>29.5%<br>54.5%<br>21.0%<br>50.5% |
| 1.                                     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Litter, Garbage % saying so  | 15.4%  |
|  | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  | 81.1%  |
| SOUR                                   | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research  |  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): SQUIRREL HILL-NORTH OF FORBES (Number of Respondents: 261)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 23.9%<br>73.9%<br>25.7%<br>62.1%                  |
|--|--|---|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 63.2%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 57.1%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 33.0%   |
| 1.                                     | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  | 74.2%   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 46.1%<br>55.9%<br>28.8%<br>39.5%<br>8.4%<br>28.0% |
| 1.                                     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Crime Related % saying so   | 17.6%   |
|  | I. <u>School</u> Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  |   |
| SOUP                                   | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh  |   |

|  | - 1  |
|--|--|
| 1980): SQUIRREL HILL-SOUTH OF FORBES<br>er of Respondents: 182)  | 1  |
| ellent place to live   |  |
| Neighborhood Attachment the neighborhood   |  |
| C. Neighboring nes with neighbors  |  |
| of Neighborhood Facilities  y in/near neighborhood   |  |
| E. Participation neighborhood organization   |  |
| ### Traffic control (stop 1.7%   Traffic cont | The state of the s |
| erious Neighborhood Problem and Maintenence % saying so 19.5%  | - U  |
| I. School in/near neighborhood 80.0% ter for Social and Urban Research   |  |
| ersity of Pittsburgh   |  |

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): STANTON HEIGHTS (Number of Respondents: 59)

|  | 1 0 1 144/4 1  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 15.3%   |
| 5.                                     | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditions b. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 50.4%   |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 41.8%<br>17.0yr.                                  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 78.0%<br>96.6%                                    |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                   | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 49.2%   |
| 1.                                     | E. Participation  Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  | 69.2%   |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 37.3%<br>54.4%<br>10.2%<br>22.6%<br>5.1%<br>48.1% |
| 1.                                     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so   | 20.8%   |
|  | I. <a href="School">School</a> in/near <a href="neighborhood">neighborhood</a>   | 80.0%   |
| SOU                                    | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh  |   |

|            |   | - 1 |
|------------|---|-----|
|            | 30): STRIP DISTRICT                           |     |
| r of Respo | ondents: 14)                                  |     |
| General    | Attitudes                                     | ,   |
| llent plac | ce to live 46.2%                              |     |
| ved over p | past two years 28.6%                          | 1   |
| rvices in  | neighborhood64.3%                             |     |
|            | 28.6%   |     |
|            | nt moving out of the city 50.0%               |     |
|            | ighborhood Atlas Survey<br>d conditions 20.0% | 1   |
| improved o | over past two years 11.1%                     |     |
|            |   |     |
| velghborno | orhood71.4%                                   |     |
| ighborhoo  | od than to city 92.3%                         |     |
| lived in   | neighborhood 35.0yr.                          |     |
| n the nei  | ighborhood50.0%                               |     |
| C. Neigh   | phoring                                       |     |
| s with ne  | eighbors 71.4%                                |     |
| neighbor   | rs with small tasks 64.3%                     |     |
|            | nelp in an emergency 92.3%                    |     |
|            | hborhood57.1%                                 |     |
| f Neighbo  | orhood Facilities                             |     |
|            | neighborhood 50.0%                            |     |
|            | tly in/near neighborhood 42.9%                |     |
|            | tly in/near neighborhood 7.1%                 |     |
| ices free  | quently in/near neighborhood. 21.4%           |     |
| Danti      | aimabion.                                     |     |
| neighborh  | cipation<br>hood organization 0.0%            |     |
| ahborhood  | d organization 25.0%                          |     |
|            | l or other such                               |     |
|            | nood 35.7%                                    |     |
| ions       | G. Public Services                            |     |
| ions<br>n) | (% only fair/poor)                            |     |
| . 42.9%    | 1. Street repair 35.7%                        |     |
| . 64.3%    | 2. Trash/garbage collection 21.4%             |     |
| . 33.3%    | 3. Street cleaning 64.3%                      |     |
| . 28.6%    | 4. Street lighting 21.4%                      |     |
| . 28.6%    | 5. Police protection 53.8%                    |     |
| 28.6%      | 6. Fire protection 18.2%                      |     |
| 28.6%      | 7. Public transportation 15.4%                |     |
| 28.6%      | 8. Traffic control (stop                      |     |
| 7.1%       | signs, stop lights) 7.7%                      |     |
| 14.3%      | 9. Animal control 14.3%                       |     |
| ious Ne    | ighborhood Problem                            |     |
|            | % saying so 28.6%                             |     |
| I. So      | chool   |     |
| /near ne   | eighborhood100.0%                             |     |
|            |   |     |
|            | ocial and Urban Research                      |     |
| sity of    | Pittsburgh                                    |     |
|            |   |     |

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): SWISSHELM PARK (Number of Respondents: 20)

| A. General Attitudes  1. Neighborhood good/excellent place to live                   | .0%<br>.0%<br>.0% |
|--|-------------------|
| 2. Feel more lovalty to neighborhood than to city 75                                 | .Oyr.             |
| C. Neighboring  1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors                           | .0%<br>.0%        |
| 3. Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood 15                        | .0%<br>.0%<br>.0% |
| E. Participation  1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization                | .3%               |
| F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  1. Vacant buildings                  | .0%               |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  1. Problem: Youth Related % saying so 21       | .1%               |
| I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood                             | .7%               |
| SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh |                   |

| General Attitudes                     |         |
|---------------------------------------|---------|
| llent place to live                   | 49.0%   |
| ved over past two years               | 30.4%   |
| rvices in neighborhood                | 58.1%   |
| wo years                              | 33.0%   |
| rs, percent moving out of the city    | 11.5%   |
| n 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey      |         |
| ighborhood conditions                 | 19.8%   |
| improved over past two years          | 7.4%    |
|                                       |         |
| Neighborhood Attachment               |         |
| ne neighborhood                       | 59.6%   |
| eighborhood than to city              | 55.4%   |
| lived in neighborhood                 | 15.0yr. |
| in the neighborhood                   | 34.0%   |
|                                       |         |
| C. Neighboring                        |         |
| es with neighbors                     | 28.9%   |
| neighbors with small tasks            | 49.5%   |
| pors for help in an emergency         | 92.3%   |
| ; in neighborhood                     | 52.6%   |
|                                       |         |
| of Neighborhood Facilities            |         |
| in/near neighborhood                  | 50.5%   |
| frequently in/near neighborhood       | 36.1%   |
| frequently in/near neighborhood       | 11.3%   |
| ices frequently in/near neighborhood. | 50.5%   |
|                                       |         |
| . Participation                       |         |
| neighborhood organization             | 20.6%   |
| ghborhood organization                | 68.8%   |
| fraternal or other such               |         |
| neighborhood                          | 37.18   |
|                                       |         |
| ions G. Public Services               |         |
| m) (% only fair/poor)                 |         |
| . 10.3% 1. Street repair              | 55.8%   |
| . 18.8% 2. Trash/garbage collection   | 45.7%   |
| . 23.0% 3. Street cleaning            | 55.7%   |
|                                       | 33.0%   |
| . 29.5% 5. Police protection          | 64.7%   |
| . 19.1% 6. Fire protection            | 25.3%   |
| . 30.9% 7. Public transportation      | 35.5%   |
| . 49.5% 8. Traffic control (stop      |         |
| . 32.3% signs, stop lights)           |         |
| . 20.6% 9. Animal control             | 64.9%   |
|                                       |         |
| rious Neighborhood Problem            |         |
| % saying so                           | 26.6%   |
|                                       |         |
| I. School                             |         |
| n/near naighborhood                   |         |
| n/near neighborhood                   | 58.3%   |
|                                       | 58.3%   |
| er for Social and Urban Research      | 58.3%   |
|                                       | 58.3%   |

RVEY (1980): TERRACE VILLAGE r of Respondents: 97)

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): 31ST WARD (Number of Respondents: 70)

| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | A. General Attitudes  Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 24.3%  |
|--|--|--|
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | B. Neighborhood Attachment Strongly attached to the neighborhood Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city Median number of years lived in neighborhood Have relatives living in the neighborhood   | 70.0%<br>76.9%<br>24.5yr.<br>52.9%                 |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | C. Neighboring Visit often or sometimes with neighbors Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 77.1%  |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.                         | D. <u>Use of Neighborhood Facilities</u> Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 42.9%<br>57.1%<br>18.6%<br>30.0%                   |
| 1.   | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood  | 83.3%  |
| 2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8.<br>9. | F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  Vacant buildings   | 40.6%<br>79.1%<br>10.4%<br>52.5%<br>29.2%<br>35.4% |
| 1.   | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem Problem:Street Repair and Maintenence % saying so   | 19.7%  |
| 1.   | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 70.6%  |
| SOU  | RCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research<br>University of Pittsburgh   |  |

#### RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): TROY HILL (Number of Respondents: 54)

|     | A. General Attitudes   |        |
|-----|--|--------|
| 1.  | Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 75.9%  |
| 2.  | Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 46.3%  |
| 3.  | Satisfied with city services in neighborhood   | 70.6%  |
| 4.  | Plan to move in next two years   | 7.4%   |
| -   | a. Of potential movers, percent moving out of the city   | 25.0%  |
| 5.  | Residents' opinion from 1975 Neighborhood Atlas Survey   |        |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood conditionsb. Neighborhood has improved over past two years  | 48.8%  |
|     | b. Reignborhood has improved over past two years   | 20.38  |
|     | B. Neighborhood Attachment   |        |
| 1.  | Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 68 58  |
| 2.  | Feel more loyalty to neighborhood than to city   | 75.0%  |
| 3.  | Median number of years lived in neighborhood   | 28.5v1 |
| 4.  | Have relatives living in the neighborhood  | 61.1%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | C. <u>Neighboring</u>  |        |
| 1.  | Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  | 50.0%  |
| 2.  | Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks   | 63.0%  |
| 3.  | Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  | 98.0%  |
| 4.  | Have best friend living in neighborhood  | 55.6%  |
|     | D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  |        |
| 1.  | Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood   | E7 19  |
| 2.  | Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  | 63 09  |
| 3.  | Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  | 20 49  |
| 4.  | Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood.   | 50 09  |
|     | near meaning meaning of the state of the sta | 30.00  |
|     | E. Participation   |        |
| 1.  | Belong to at least one neighborhood organization   | 38.9%  |
|     | a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  | 94.3%  |
| 2.  | Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such   |        |
|     | organization in/near neighborhood  | 48.1%  |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions G. Public Services  |        |
|     | F. Neighborhood Conditions  (% a major problem)  G. Public Services (% only fair/poor)   |        |
| 1   | Vacant buildings 5.6% 1. Street repair   | E0 29  |
| 2.  | Deteriorated buildings 3.7% 2. Trash/garbage collection  | 33.36  |
| 3.  | Cost of housing 9.8% 3. Street cleaning  | 71 29  |
|     | Vandalism 9.3% 4. Street lighting  | 24.19  |
|     | Burglaries 3.7% 5. Police protection   | 57.48  |
|     | Muggings 1.9% 6. Fire protection   | 19.28  |
|     | Rats 1.9% 7. Public transportation   | 26.4%  |
|     | Litter and garbage 18.5% 8. Traffic control (stop  |        |
| 9.  | Stray dogs 14.8% signs, stop lights)   | 20.8%  |
| 10. | Street maintenence 11.1% 9. Animal control   | 40.7%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem   |        |
| 1.  | Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 17.4%  |
|     |  |        |
|     | T Cabaal   |        |
| 1   | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 00 00  |
| 1.  | I. School Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 92.3%  |
|     | Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood   | 92.3%  |
|     |  | 92.3%  |

# RESIDENT SURVEY (1980): CITY OF PITTSBURGH (Number of Respondents: 5896)

| A. General Attitudes  1. Neighborhood good/excellent place to live  | 29.2%<br>67.3%<br>22.9%<br>47.8%                   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| B. Neighborhood Attachment  1. Strongly attached to the neighborhood  | 63.2%<br>19.0yr                                    |  |  |  |
| C. Neighboring  1. Visit often or sometimes with neighbors  2. Help (or are helped by) neighbors with small tasks  3. Feel can call on neighbors for help in an emergency  4. Have best friend living in neighborhood   | 60.3%  |  |  |  |
| D. Use of Neighborhood Facilities  1. Grocery shop frequently in/near neighborhood  2. Attend church/synagogue frequently in/near neighborhood  3. Use recreation facility frequently in/near neighborhood  4. Use health/medical services frequently in/near neighborhood. | 50.9%  |  |  |  |
| E. Participation  1. Belong to at least one neighborhood organization  a. Satisfied with neighborhood organization  2. Belong to church, PTA, fraternal or other such organization in/near neighborhood   | 75.2%  |  |  |  |
| F. Neighborhood Conditions (% a major problem)  1. Vacant buildings   | 38.7%<br>60.3%<br>22.7%<br>50.0%<br>16.9%<br>30.2% |  |  |  |
| H. Most Serious Neighborhood Problem  1. Problem:Crime Related % saying so  | 15.3%  |  |  |  |
| I. School  1. Satisfied with school in/near neighborhood  SOURCE: The University Center for Social and Urban Research   | 85.1%  |  |  |  |
| University of Pittsburgh  |  |  |  |  |

